#### **ORDINANCE NO. 1199**

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF GIG HARBOR, WASHINGTON, RELATING TO GROWTH MANAGEMENT AND PLANNING, MAKING THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENTS TO THE CITY OF GIG HARBOR COMPREHENSIVE PLAN FOR THE 2010 ANNUAL CYCLE: ADDING A PARKS RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE ELEMENT WHICH INCLUDES THE GOALS AND POLICIES CONTAINED IN THE 2010 GIG HARBOR PARK PLAN (COMP 10-0001); AMENDING THE CAPITAL FACILITIES ELEMENT TO UPDATE THE SIX-YEAR IMPROVEMENT PROJECT LISTS AND REVISE THE INVENTORY, ANALYSIS AND LEVEL OF SERVICE FOR PARKS, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE FACILITIES (COMP 10-0002); PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City of Gig Harbor plans under the Growth Management Act (chapter 36.70A RCW); and

WHEREAS, the Act requires the City to adopt a Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the City adopted a revised GMA Comprehensive Plan as required by RCW 36.70A.130 (4) in December 2004; and

WHEREAS, the City is required to consider suggested changes to the Comprehensive Plan (RCW 36.70A.470); and

WHEREAS, except under circumstances not applicable here, the City may not amend the Comprehensive Plan more than once a year (RCW 36.70A.130); and

WHEREAS, the City is required to provide public notice and public hearing for any amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and the adoption of any elements thereto (RCW 36.70A.035, RCW 36.70A.130); and

WHEREAS, on March 22, 2010, the City Council evaluated the comprehensive plan amendment applications submitted for the 2010 annual cycle, held a public hearing on such applications, and forwarded three comprehensive plan amendment applications to the Planning Commission for further processing in the 2010 Comprehensive Plan annual cycle; and

WHEREAS, on July 14, 2010, the City's SEPA Responsible Official issued a Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) for comprehensive plan amendment applications, pursuant to WAC 197-11-340(2), which was not appealed; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Director notified the Washington State Department of Commerce of the City's intent to amend the Comprehensive Plan and forwarded a copy of the proposed amendments on July 14, 2010 pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission held work study sessions on to discuss the applications on July 15, 2010, July 29, 2010, August 5, 2010 and August 12, 2010; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on the Comprehensive Plan amendments on August 5, 2010; and

WHEREAS, on August 12, 2010 the Planning Commission voted to recommend approval of two proposed amendments and recommend denial of one proposed amendments as documented in the Planning Commission's written recommendations signed by Planning Commission Chair, Harris Atkins, all dated August 12, 2010; and

WHEREAS, on September 27, 2010, prior to the scheduled public hearing on the Comprehensive Plan amendments at the City Council, the agent for the property owners of application COMP 10-0003, 96<sup>th</sup> Street LLC Land Use Map Amendment, announced to the City Council the withdrawal of that application; and

WHEREAS, with the withdrawal of application COMP 10-0003, the City Council did not conduct a public hearing on or consider the application any further; and

WHEREAS, on September 27, 2010, the Gig Harbor City Council held a public hearing on the two remaining proposed amendments to the Gig Harbor Comprehensive Plan for the 2010 annual review cycle; and

WHEREAS, on September 28, 2010, Carl Halsan, agent for the property owners of the 96<sup>th</sup> Street LLC property submitted a written letter withdrawing application COMP 10-0003; and

WHEREAS, the Gig Harbor City Council had a first reading of an Ordinance implementing the recommendations of the Planning Commission for the two remaining applications and amending the Comprehensive Plan on October 11, 2010; and

WHEREAS, the Gig Harbor City Council had a second reading of an Ordinance implementing the recommendations of the Planning Commission for the two remaining applications and amending the Comprehensive Plan on October 25, 2010;

Now, Therefore,

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GIG HARBOR, WASHINGTON, ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Comprehensive Plan Text Amendments.

A. **Notice.** The City Clerk confirmed that public notice of the public hearings held by the City Council on the following applications was provided.

B. **Hearing Procedure**. The City Council's consideration of the comprehensive plan text amendments is a legislative act. The Appearance of Fairness doctrine does not apply.

C. **Testimony.** No persons testified on the applications at the September 27, 2010 public hearing.

D. **Criteria for Approval.** The process for Comprehensive Plan amendments (Chapter 19.09) states that the City Council shall consider the Planning Commission's recommendations and after considering the criteria found in GHMC 19.09.170 make written findings regarding each application's consistency or inconsistency with the criteria. The criteria found in GHMC 19.09.170 are as follows:

#### 19.09.170 Criteria for approval.

A. The proposed amendment will further and be consistent with the goals, policies and objectives of the comprehensive plan; and

B. The proposed amendment is consistent with the Growth Management Act, the countywide planning policies and other applicable interjurisdictional policies and agreements, and/or other state or local laws; and

C. The proposed amendment will not adversely impact the city's ability to provide sewer and water, and will not adversely affect transportation facilities and other public facilities and services such as parks, police, fire, emergency medical services and governmental services; and

D. The proposed amendment advances the public interest; and

E. For text amendments which propose to increase density or intensity of permitted development and all land use map amendments, the following approval criteria also apply:

1. Adequate infrastructure, facilities and services are available to serve the proposed or potential development expected as a result of this amendment, according to one of the following provisions:

a. The city has adequate funds for needed infrastructure, facilities and services to support new development associated with the proposed amendments; or

b. The city's projected revenues are sufficient to fund needed infrastructure, facilities and services, and such infrastructure, facilities and services are included in the schedule of capital improvements in the city's capital facilities plan; or

c. Needed infrastructure, facilities and services will be funded by the developer under the terms of a development agreement associated with the comprehensive plan amendment; or

d. Adequate infrastructure, facilities and services are currently in place to serve expected development as a result of this comprehensive plan amendment based upon an assessment of land use assumptions; or

e. Land use assumptions have been reassessed, and required amendments to other sections of the comprehensive plan are being processed in conjunction with this amendment in order to ensure that adopted level of service standards will be met; and

2. For a land use map amendment, the subject parcels being redesignated are physically suitable for the allowed land uses in the designation being requested, including compatibility with existing and planned surrounding land uses; and

3. The proposed amendment will not create a demand to change land use designations of other properties, unless the change in land use designation for other properties is in the long-term interest of the community in general.

#### E. Applications. The City Council hereby enters the following findings and conclusions for each application:

#### 1. COMP 09-0001 – Parks, Recreation and Open Space Element

<u>Summary:</u> A text amendment to insert goals and policies into the Comprehensive Plan related to parks, recreation and open space. The goals and policies match those contained in the Gig Harbor 2010 Park Plan adopted in June 2010. This amendment is sponsored by the City of Gig Harbor. <u>Findings:</u>

- a) Historically, the City has had a Parks, Recreation and Open Space Element in the Comprehensive Plan. This element was removed in 2009 because the 2001 Park Plan, which had been adopted by reference, had expired and a new 2010 Park Plan was being developed.
- b) The Council finds that the insertion of the goals and policies of the adopted 2010 Park Plan into the Parks, Recreation and Open Space Element is consistent with the approved 2009 comprehensive plan amendment which put the following language in the Parks, Recreation and Open Space Element. "This chapter is reserved for the parks, recreation and open space plan. The City has removed the expired 2001 plan and is working on a replacement plan for adoption in the 2010 Comprehensive Plan Amendment Cycle."
- c) The City Council finds that the proposed amendment is consistent with the Growth Management Act, the countywide planning policies and multi-county planning policies.
- d) The City Council finds that any impacts the proposed policies and goals will have on City infrastructure were adequately assessed through the public process which adopted the 2010 Park Plan. Given the adoption of the 2010 Park Plan, the City has already determined that no adverse impacts will occur.
- e) Given the substantial amount of public comment and process in the development of the 2010 Park Plan through the Parks Commission and the adoption of the 2010 Park Plan by the City Council in June 2010, the City Council finds that proposed amendment advances the public interest.

<u>Conclusion:</u> After consideration of the materials in the file, staff presentation, the Planning Commission recommendation, the City's Comprehensive Plan, criteria for approval found in Chapter 19.09 GHMC, applicable law, and public testimony,

the City Council hereby **approves** application COMP 10-0001, as identified in Exhibit A attached to this Ordinance.

#### 2. COMP 10-0002 – Capital Facilities Plan

<u>Summary:</u> A text amendment to make annual changes to the City's wastewater, water and transportation improvements plan. In addition, the parks, recreation and open space inventory, analysis and project list is being updated to reflect the 2010 Park Plan. This amendment is sponsored by the City of Gig Harbor.

Findings:

- a) The City's Comprehensive Plan seeks to keep pace with the population and commercial growth through the funding of capital improvements that manage and allow for the projected growth. The City Council finds that the amendments to the wastewater, water, parks and transportation project lists and parks level of service analysis in the Capital Facilities Plan will allow the City to better address the planning area's needs by identifying capital projects and associated funding strategies.
- b) The City Council finds that the proposed amendment is consistent with the Growth Management Act, the countywide planning policies and multi-county planning policies.
- c) The City Council finds that the amendments are necessary so as not to create significant adverse impacts to the City's infrastructure. Updating the transportation, water, parks and open space project lists in the capital facilities plan allows the City to plan for and provide the necessary infrastructure to serve the development projected by the Comprehensive Plan.
- d) The City Council finds that this amendment serves the public interest by creating a plan to provide the infrastructure needed to meet agreed upon levels of service for citizens and ratepayers and to provide for the growth potential of the City.

<u>Conclusion:</u> After consideration of the materials in the file, staff presentation, the Planning Commission recommendation, the City's Comprehensive Plan, criteria for approval found in Chapter 19.09 GHMC, applicable law, and public testimony, the City Council hereby **approves** application COMP 10-0002, as identified in Exhibit B attached to this Ordinance.

<u>Section 2.</u> <u>Transmittal to State</u>. The Planning Director is directed to forward a copy of this Ordinance, together with all of the exhibits, to the Washington State Commerce Department within ten days of adoption, pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106.

<u>Section 3.</u> <u>Severability</u>. If any portion of this Ordinance or its application to any person or circumstances is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid or unconstitutional, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the remainder of the Ordinance or the application of the remainder to other persons or circumstances.

Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall take effect and be in full force five (5) days after passage and publication of an approved summary consisting of the title.

PASSED by the Council and approved by the Mayor of the City of Gig Harbor this 25th day of October, 2010.

**CITY OF GIG HARBOR** 

Mayor Charles L. Hunter

ATTEST/AUTHENTICATED:

Molly M. Towslee, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM: Office of the City Attorney

Angela \$ Belbeck

FILED WITH THE CITY CLERK: 10/06/10 PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL: 10/25/10 PUBLISHED: 11/03/10 EFFECTIVE DATE: 11/08/10 **ORDINANCE NO. 1199** 

Exhibit "A" Application COMP 10-0001: Parks, Recreation and Open Space Element

#### Chapter 10 PARKS, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE

This chapter is reserved for the parks, recreation and open space plan. The City has removed the expired 2001 plan and is working on a replacement plan for adoption in the 2010 Comprehensive Plan Amendment Cycle.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The 2010 Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan (Park Plan) for Gig Harbor represents the City's vision, and provides goals and objectives for the development of parks, recreation and open spaces in Gig Harbor for the next six years and beyond. Only key elements of the Park Plan are incorporated into the Comprehensive Plan. This chapter includes the goals and policies adopted in the Park Plan, while the inventory, levels of service and 6-year capital facilities projects are included in Chapter 12, Capital Facilities.

Since the adoption of the previous Plan in 2003, the City of Gig Harbor has completed many park projects and has acquired significant park properties. Newly completed park projects include the Civic Center which includes a large multi-use public green, skate park, and playground; Kenneth Leo Marvin Veterans Memorial Park and a two mile expansion of the Cushman Trail. Park properties acquired since 2003 include two sites adjacent to City Park that help protect Crescent Creek, Eddon Boat Park and Austin Estuary. These projects were made possible through grants and partnerships with business, organizations, government, outside funders, and citizens.

The 2010 update was put together using a variety of approaches including:

- Direction and vision from the Parks Commission
- <u>Public Workshop</u>
- Information gathered from the 2008 National Citizen Survey
- <u>Community Intercept Interviews</u>
- <u>Staff input</u>
- Review of site or project specific committee recommendations related to parks
- <u>City Council public hearing and adoption</u>

The general themes expressed by the public include:

- <u>Trail development</u>
- Expanding partnerships to leverage City funds
- Pursuing the acquisition of additional land in developing areas
- Improving public access to natural features including shoreline and critical areas

Using local standards adopted in the Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan, the City will need 55.57 additional park-acres. Given the importance of public access to the City's waterfront and trail connections expressed by the public, levels of service have been developed for waterfront parks and trails. Funding will continue to be scarce, so taking advantage of grants, partnerships and local resources will be necessary. This plan outlines options and strategies to complete different projects and aspects of the plan. Project funding, prioritization and scope are reviewed

each year as a part of the annual budget process and update of the capital facilities element of the Comprehensive Plan.

The 2010 Gig Harbor Park Recreation and Open Space Plan will help guide the city staff, park commission and city council in meeting identified gaps in services and park development in order to meet the need and support the quality of life for our citizens in the coming years.

#### VISION

*Gig Harbor's Vision*: To develop a quintessential system of parks, trails and open spaces that enhance the City's history, environmental features, and sense of place to encourage both active and passive forms of recreation popular on the peninsula.

#### GOALS AND POLICIES

#### OPEN SPACE PRESERVATION AND WILDLIFE RESOURCES

# GOAL 10.1:DEVELOP A HIGH QUALITY, DIVERSIFIED PARK SYSTEM THATPRESERVES AND ENHANCES SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTALRESOURCES AND FEATURES. INCORPORATE UNIQUEECOLOGICAL FEATURES AND RESOURCES TO PROTECTTHREATENED SPECIES, PRESERVE HABITAT, AND RETAINMIGRATION CORRIDORS THAT ARE UNIQUE AND IMPORTANT TOLOCAL WILDLIFE.

**10.1.1.** Acquire and preserve especially sensitive or unique habitat sites that support threatened or endangered species and urban wildlife habitat.

**10.1.2.** Identify and conserve critical wildlife habitat including nesting sites, foraging areas, and migration corridors within or adjacent to natural areas, open spaces, and the developed urban areas.

#### IDENTIFY URBAN GROWTH PRESERVES

### GOAL 10.2:COORDINATE WITH OTHER PUBLIC AND PRIVATE AGENCIES,<br/>AND WITH PRIVATE LANDOWNERS TO PRESERVE LAND AND<br/>RESOURCES NECESSARY TO PROVIDE HIGH QUALITY,<br/>CONVENIENT PARK AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES BEFORE<br/>THE MOST SUITABLE SITES ARE LOST TO DEVELOPMENT.

**10.2.1.** Continue coordination with PenMet Parks and other agencies on meeting park, recreation, and open space needs through the City and urban growth area to assure that needs are met and services are not duplicated.

**10.2.2.** Identify lands needed to meet long-term demand for parks, recreation and open space in developing areas such as the wooded, undeveloped, and sensitive lands.

**10.2.3.** Prior to annexation of urban growth areas review park, recreation, and open space needs to determine potential impacts to adopted levels of service. Such impacts shall be considered when determining the impacts of a potential annexation.

#### DEVELOPING HEALTHY COMMUNITIES

### GOAL 10.3:THROUGH THE CITY'S PERMIT PROCESS, REQUIRE, WHENPOSSIBLE, NEW DEVELOPMENT TO SUPPORT AND ENHANCE THEPEDESTRIAN ENVIRONMENT TO PROMOTE HEALTHYLIFESTYLES AND ACTIVE COMMERCIAL AREAS.

**10.3.1.** Require pedestrian friendly design features (including but not limited to placement of new buildings, on-site walkways, and pedestrian scale site features) on new developments to promote active healthy lifestyles within the community.

**10.3.2.** Require non-residential developments to provide common areas (such as town squares, plazas, or pocket parks) proportional to size of the development and the impact on existing park infrastructure to serve the recreational needs of employees and customers. Encourage these spaces to be used as the focus of commercial and civic buildings.

#### PARK DESIGN STANDARDS

### GOAL 10.4:DESIGN AND DEVELOP FACILITIES THAT ARE ACCESSIBLE, SAFE,<br/>AND EASY TO MAINTAIN, WITH LIFE CYCLE FEATURES THAT<br/>ACCOUNT FOR LONG-TERM COSTS AND BENEFITS.

#### <u>General</u>

**10.4.1.** Create park plans for the potential development and re-development of City park properties.

**10.4.2.** Incorporate features and amenities into parks that fit the local context; contribute to environmental sustainability; and are accessible, safe, and easy to maintain for the long term.

**10.4.3.** Provide maps at the City's larger parks, documenting park and trail opportunities in the vicinity of the park.

**10.4.4.** Develop and maintain parks consistent with local, state and federal environmental regulations.

#### <u>Accessibility</u>

**10.4.5.** Design park and recreation facilities to be accessible in accordance with the American Disabilities Act (ADA).

#### **Maintenance**

**10.4.6.** Design and develop facilities that are of low maintenance and high capacity design to reduce overall facility maintenance and operation requirements and costs.

**10.4.7.** Where appropriate, use low maintenance materials, settings or other value engineering considerations that reduce care and security requirements, and retain natural conditions and experiences.

#### Security and Safety

**10.4.8.** Implement design and development standards that will improve park facility safety and implement security features for park users, department personnel, and the public-at-large.

**10.4.9.** Continue to develop and implement safety standards, procedures, and programs that will provide proper training and awareness for department personnel.

**10.4.10.** Define and enforce rules and regulations concerning park activities and operations that will protect user groups, department personnel, and the general public-at-large.

**10.4.11.** Where appropriate, use adopt-a-park programs, neighborhood park watches, park police patrols, and other innovative programs that will increase safety and security awareness and visibility.

#### TRAIL AND CORRIDOR ACCESS SYSTEMS

## GOAL 10.5:DEVELOP A HIGH QUALITY SYSTEM OF MULTIPURPOSE PARKTRAILS AND CORRIDORS THAT PROVIDE ALTERNATIVETRANSPORTATION OPTIONS AND LOW IMPACT RECREATIONALOPPORTUNITIES FOR RESIDENTS OF ALL AGES AND ABILITIES INCOORDINATION WITH THE CITY'S NON-MOTORIZEDTRANSPORTATION PLAN.

#### <u>Trail Systems</u>

**10.5.1.** Create a comprehensive system of multipurpose off-road trails using the Cushman Trail as the backbone of the system. Trails should be developed to provide access to significant environmental features, public facilities, neighborhoods and businesses districts to promote physical activity and a health conscious community.

**10.5.2.** Leveraging the resources of WSDOT, private developers and other agencies, construct pedestrian facilities that cross SR-16 and other highways (ex: pedestrian overpass at BB16).

**10.5.3.** Trails should be connected to nearby sidewalk facilities wherever feasible to facilitate the use of the off-street trail systems for non-motorized transportation and recreation. Where sidewalks are an integrated component of a trail system, larger sidewalks may be needed.

**10.5.4.** Work with PenMet Parks, Pierce County, Tacoma, the Washington State Department of Transportation, and other appropriate jurisdictions to link and extend Gig Harbor trails to other regional trail facilities.

**10.5.5.** Extend trails through natural area corridors like the Crescent and Donkey (North) Creek corridors, and Wollochet Drive wetlands within the City that will provide a high quality, diverse sampling of area environmental resources, in balance with habitat protection.

#### Trail Development and Amenities

**10.5.6.** Develop trails consistent with the park development goals and policies where applicable.

**10.5.7.** Furnish trail systems with appropriate supporting trailhead improvements that may include interpretive and directory signage systems, rest stops, drinking fountains, restrooms, parking and loading areas, water and other services.

**10.5.8.** Where appropriate, locate trailheads at or in conjunction with park sites, schools, and other community facilities to increase local area access to the trail system and reduce duplication of supporting improvements.

**10.5.9.** Develop trail improvements of a design that is easy to maintain and access by maintenance, security, and other appropriate personnel, equipment, and vehicles.

**10.5.10.** Develop trail accessibility standards to provide for accessible trails where possible and support a diversity of non-motorized uses. Such standards should not prohibit construction of trails where grade or corridor width will not allow full accessibility or trail widths for all uses.

**10.5.11.** Develop and implement a system of signs to mark trails and non-motorized routes that coordinates with the City's streetscape and furniture standards. Such signage should be developed in accordance with the City's adopted way finding plan.

#### RECREATIONAL FACILITIES

#### GOAL 10.6: DEVELOP A QUALITY, DIVERSIFIED RECREATION SYSTEM THAT PROVIDES FOR ALL AGE AND INTEREST GROUPS.

Waterfront Access and Facilities

**10.6.1.** Cooperate with Pierce County, PenMet Parks, the Washington State Department of Fish & Wildlife, and other public and private agencies to acquire and preserve additional shoreline access for waterfront fishing, wading, swimming, viewing and other related recreational activities and pursuits, recognizing the rights of property owners in the vicinity of such sites.

**10.6.2.** Develop and/or encourage a mixture of watercraft access opportunities including canoe, kayak, sailboard, and other non-power boating activities, especially on Gig Harbor Bay and along the Puget Sound shoreline.

#### **Athletic Facilities**

**10.6.3.** Concentrate on field and court activities like soccer, football, baseball, basketball, tennis, pickleball and volleyball that provide for the largest number of participants.

**10.6.4.** Encourage, leverage the development, or develop, where appropriate, a select number of facilities that provide a quality playing environment, possibly in conjunction with PenMet Parks, Pierce County, Peninsula School District, and other public or private agencies. Such facilities should be developed to meet the requirements for all age groups, skill levels, and recreational interests where possible.

#### Indoor Facilities

**10.6.5.** Facilitate the continued development by the Peninsula School District and other organizations, of special meeting, assembly, eating, health, cultural, and other community facilities that provide general support to school age populations and the community-at-large at elementary, middle, and high schools within the City, urban growth area and the greater peninsula.

#### SPECIAL PURPOSE FACILITIES

#### GOAL 10.7: ENCOURAGE THE DEVELOPMENT OF QUALITY FACILITIES THAT MEET THE INTERESTS OF ALL SEGMENTS OF THE COMMUNITY.

**10.7.1.** Where appropriate and economically feasible (self-supporting), encourage other organizations to develop and operate specialized and special interest recreational or cultural facilities like theater, golf and water parks for these interests in the general population.

**10.7.2.** Where appropriate, facilitate and encourage joint planning and operating programs with other public and private agencies to determine need and provide for special activities like golf, performing arts, water parks, and camping on an area wide basis.

#### RECREATIONAL PROGRAMS

#### GOAL10.8: COORDINATE WITH AND ENCOURAGE THE EFFORTS OF OTHER

#### AGENCIES AND NON-PROFIT RECREATIONAL PROVIDERS TO ASSURE THAT THE RECREATIONAL NEEDS OF THE GIG HARBOR RESIDENTS ARE MET.

**10.8.1.** Facilitate and encourage other organizations to provide arts and crafts, classroom instruction in music and dance, physical conditioning and health care, meeting facilities, daycare, latch key, and other program activities for all cultural, age, physical and mental capability, and income groups in the community.

**10.8.2.** Endorse the efforts of local non-profit organizations to provide soccer, baseball, softball, basketball, volleyball, tennis, pickleball, and other instruction and participatory programs for all age, skill level, and income groups in the community.

**10.8.3.** Assist historical and cultural societies to develop and display artifacts, reports, and exhibits; and conduct lectures, classes, and other programs that document and develop awareness of Gig Harbor's heritage.

#### HISTORIC RESOURCES

#### GOAL 10.9: DEVELOP A HIGH QUALITY, DIVERSIFIED PARK SYSTEM THAT PRESERVES SIGNIFICANT HISTORIC OPPORTUNITY AREAS AND FEATURES.

**10.9.1.** Identify, preserve, and enhance Gig Harbor's multicultural heritage, human history of the City and its neighborhoods, traditions, and cultural features including historic sites, buildings, artworks, objects, views, and monuments.

**10.9.2** Identify and incorporate significant historic and cultural lands, sites, artifacts, and facilities into the park system to preserve these interests and to provide a balanced social experience.

**10.9.3** Register City owned parks, structures and open space properties that are eligible for the Gig Harbor Register of Historic Places and utilize the City's Certified Local Government (CLG) board to determine appropriate preservation methods and traditional uses.

**10.9.4.** Encourage the Harbor History Museum, Gig Harbor BoatShop, Gig Harbor Fishermen's Civic Club and others to make cultural programs and activities more accessible to the public.

**10.9.5.** Encourage the owners of historic sites and structures to provide increased public access.

**10.9.6.** Consider adopting incentives and adaptive re-use provisions for properties listed on the City's Historic Register to encourage retention of such structures.

#### CULTURAL ARTS PROGRAMS AND RESOURCES

#### GOAL 10.10: ENCOURAGE FINE AND PERFORMING ARTS PARTNERSHIPS AND PROGRAMS THAT REFLECT THE COMMUNITY'S VISION AND CULTURE.

**10.10.1.** Identify public art opportunities that highlight the cultural and historical connections within our community through local history, environmental systems, cultural traditions, and visual symbols.

**10.10.2.** Use public art to create visible landmarks and artistic points of reference to reinforce Gig Harbor's identity, unique culture and character.

**10.10.3.** Acquire works of art through a variety of methods including commissioned works, temporary works, direct purchases, and community projects.

**10.10.4.** In cooperation with area artists and cultural organizations, utilize the city's website as a clearinghouse for arts information and resource sharing.

#### FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND COORDINATION

#### GOAL 10.11: CREATE EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT METHODS OF ACQUIRING, DEVELOPING, OPERATING AND MAINTAINING FACILITIES AND PROGRAMS THAT ACCURATELY DISTRIBUTE COSTS AND BENEFITS TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC AND PRIVATE DEVELOPMENT.

#### <u>Finance</u>

**10.11.1.** Investigate available methods for the financing of facility development, maintenance, and operation in order to reduce costs, retain financial flexibility, match user benefits and interests, and increase facility services.

**10.11.2.** Consider joint ventures with other public and private agencies such as PenMet Parks, Pierce County, Peninsula School District, regional, state, federal, and other public and private agencies including for-profit concessionaires, where feasible and desirable.

#### Public and Private Resource Coordination

**10.11.3.** Engage in joint planning and development efforts with PenMet Parks, Pierce County, Peninsula School District, and other public and private agencies to avoid duplication; improve facility quality and availability; reduce costs; and represent resident area interests.

#### Cost/Benefit Assessment

**10.11.4.** Define existing and proposed land and facility levels-of-service (ELOS/PLOS) that differentiate requirements due to: population growth impacts versus improved facility standards; neighborhood versus community nexus of benefit; city versus the combination of city, county, school, and other provider agency efforts; in order to effectively plan and program park and recreation needs within the existing city and urban growth area boundaries.

**10.11.5.** Create effective and efficient methods of acquiring, developing, operating, and maintaining park and recreational facilities in manners that accurately distribute costs and benefits to public and private user interests - including the application of growth impact fees where new developments impact existing level-of-service (ELOS) standards.

#### HUMAN RESOURCES

#### GOAL 10.12: DEVELOP, TRAIN, AND SUPPORT A PROFESSIONAL PARKS STAFF <u>THAT EFFECTIVELY SERVES THE COMMUNITY IN THE</u> <u>REALIZATION OF THE ABOVE LISTED GOALS AND POLICIES.</u>

**10.12.1.** Continue to train a diverse, well-trained work force that is motivated to achieve department and citywide goals.

**10.12.2.** Encourage teamwork through communications, creativity, positive image, risk taking, sharing of resources, and cooperation toward common goals.

**10.12.3.** Where appropriate, provide staff with education, training, and modern equipment and supplies to increase personal productivity, efficiency, and pride.

Exhibit "B" Application COMP 10-0002: Capital Facilities Element

#### Chapter 12 CAPITAL FACILITIES

#### INTRODUCTION

A Capital Facilities Plan is a required element under the State Growth Management Act, Section 36.70A.070 and it addresses the financing of capital facilities in the City of Gig Harbor and the adjacent urban growth area. It represents the City and community's policy plan for the financing of public facilities over the next twenty years and it includes a six-year financing plan for capital facilities. The policies and objectives in this plan are intended to guide public decisions on the use of capital funds. They will also be used to indirectly provide general guidance on private development decisions by providing a strategy of planned public capital expenditures.

The capital facilities element specifically evaluates the city's fiscal capability to provide public facilities necessary to support the other comprehensive plan elements. The capital facilities element includes:

- Inventory and Analysis
- Future Needs and Alternatives
- Six-Year Capital Improvement Plan
- Goals, Objectives and Policies
- Plan Implementation and Monitoring

#### Level of Service Standards

The Capital Facilities Element identifies a level of service (LOS) standard for public services that are dependent on specific facilities. Level of service establishes a minimum capacity of capital facilities that must be provided per unit of demand or other appropriate measure of need. These standards are then used to determine whether a need for capacity improvements currently exists and what improvements will be needed to maintain the policy levels of service under anticipated conditions over the life of the Comprehensive Plan. The projected levels of growth are identified in the Land Use and Housing Elements.

#### Major Capital Facilities Considerations and Goals

The Capital Facilities Element is the mechanism the city uses to coordinate its physical and fiscal planning. The element is a collaboration of various disciplines and interactions of city departments including public works, planning, finance and administration. The Capital Facilities Element serves as a method to help make choices among all of the possible projects and services that are demanded of the City. It is a basic tool that can help encourage rational decision-making rather than reaction to events as they occur.

The Capital Facilities Element promotes efficiency by requiring the local government to

prioritize capital improvements for a longer period of time than the single budget year. Long range financial planning presents the opportunity to schedule capital projects so that the various steps in development logically follow one another respective to relative need, desirability and community benefit. In addition, the identification of adequate funding sources results in the prioritization of needs and allows the tradeoffs between funding sources to be evaluated explicitly. The Capital Facilities Plan will guide decision making to achieve the community goals as articulated in the Vision Statement of December, 1992.

#### INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS

The inventory provides information useful to the planning process. It also summarizes new capital improvement projects for the existing population, new capital improvement projects necessary to accommodate the growth projected through the year 2010 and the major repair, renovation or replacement of existing facilities.

#### **Inventory of Existing Capital Facilities**

#### Wastewater System

#### Existing Capital Facilities

Gig Harbor's original collection system, constructed in 1974-1975, served the downtown area and an area south of downtown. The original system was called Utility Local Improvement District (ULID) #1 and included six lift stations. ULID #2 was constructed to the south of ULID #1 in 1988 to serve south Gig Harbor including portions of Soundview Drive, Harbor Country Drive, Point Fosdick Drive, and Olympic Drive. ULID #3 was constructed north of ULID #1 in 1992 to serve North Gig Harbor including the area along Burnham Drive north of Harborview Drive, the Washington State Women's Corrections Center off Bujacich Drive, and the Purdy area including the Peninsula School District campus in Purdy.

Further expansions of the City's collection system were built under development agreements and as mitigation conditions of proposed development through the state environmental policy act (SEPA) process. As of 2009 the City's collection system consisted of approximately 150,000 feet of gravity sewers, 32,000 feet of sewer force mains, and 15 lift stations.

The City's wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) is located on five acres, west of Harborview Drive at its intersection with North Harborview Drive. The original WWTP was brought online to provide secondary treatment of municipal sewage in 1975. The original WWTP had a design capacity of 0.45 million gallons per day (MGD) with an average organic loading of 700 lbs BOD<sub>5</sub>/day. In 1988, the WWTP was expanded to treat 0.7 MGD and an average organic loading of 1,800 lbs BOD<sub>5</sub>/day. The WWTP was expanded again in 1996 to treat 1.0 MGD and permitted to treat a capacity of 1.6 MGD and an average organic loading of 3,400 lbs BOD<sub>5</sub>/day. In 2009 the City started construction of Phase I of additional improvements to the WWTP to expand the treatment capacity to the permitted capacity.

The WWTP consists of the following major components: influent flow meter, influent screens, screening press, aeration basins, blowers, secondary clarifiers, return activated sludge pumps, waste activated sludge pump, aerobic digester, digested sludge pumps, sludge dewatering centrifuge, chlorinators, chlorine contact tanks, dechlorination system, and effluent discharge pumps. Effluent from the WWTP is piped through an outfall that discharges in to Gig Harbor.

In addition to sewer service within the Gig Harbor UGA, the City of Gig Harbor owns, operates, and maintains a septic system for the Shorecrest Development along Ray Nash Drive NW located about 5 miles west of the City. The Shorecrest septic system is a 12-unit development with an on-site septic system and pressurized drainfield.

#### Level of Service

The City introduced a requirement in May 2006 through Ordinance #1044 for most new development and redevelopment projects to request a portion of the treatment capacity at the City's wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) through the sewer capacity reservation certificate (CRC) process. Each CRC reserves a specific number of gallons per day for treatment at the wastewater treatment plant based on the current value of an equivalent residential unit (ERU) Since the WWTP has limited capacity to treat wastewater, the City identifies by way of the sewer CRC process those projects that the City's WWTP has adequate public wastewater facilities to treat.

In August 2007 the City released a statement indicating the City may not be able to grant any additional sewer CRCs until a planned expansion project at the WWTP is completed. <u>The</u> anticipated completion date of the planned expansion project is November 2010. At the time of completion, the projected wastewater treatment capacity will be increased to 1.6 million gallons per day (MGD). The net increase of capacity compared to the previous capacity is 0.4 MGD, or approximately 2,667 ERUs. Based on maximum monthly flow projections, the projected treatment capacity of 1.6 MGD will be adequate for the next six years. <u>Upon completion of design on Phase 1 expansion at the WWTP the City started construction of the design improvements in 2009 with the intent of providing additional treatment capacity.</u>

#### Forecast of Future Needs

The City has used a demographics forecasting allocation model (DFAM) to forecast future population growth on undeveloped and underdeveloped parcels within the City's urban growth area (UGA). The primary input to the DFAM was a result of the City's Buildable Lands Analysis. The resulting population growth was then correlated to the generation of sewer flows to provide an estimate of the distribution of sewer flows throughout the City's UGA. These forecasted flows and descriptions of future wastewater needs are described further in the City's Wastewater Comprehensive Plan.

#### Future Wastewater Collection Needs

The City's collection system is planned at full build-out to expand to the limits of the UGA. The

collection system has been divided into a total of 21 topographic basins, also known as sewer basins. At build-out each sewer basin will have one sewer pump station and a mixture of sewer gravity mains and sewer force mains. The design and construction of undeveloped and underdeveloped sewer basins may be financed by developers as conditions of SEPA or land use approval, and/or utility local improvement districts (ULIDs).

As noted above in the description of the existing capital facilities, the City's core area has an established sewer collection system. Some areas within the City's UGA are capable of having sewer flows conveyed through the use of gravity to existing sewer lift stations. However, in most areas the future development of the City's sewer collection system will occur in areas beyond the City's core area. These areas have a topographic low point where wastewater must be collected and pumped and may require construction of a new sewer pump station, also known as a lift station. Only one lift station shall be utilized in each sewer basin.

In situations where a new sewer lift station must be constructed two scenarios exist. The first scenario is where no lift station is located in the sewer basin. The proposed development activity shall design and construct a new lift station that will collect sewer flows from the proposed development and all future development upstream in the sewer basin.

The second scenario is where an existing lift station is already located in the sewer basin but the proposed development activity is located lower in elevation than the existing lift station. The proposed development activity shall design and construct a new lift station that will collect sewer flows from the existing lift station, the proposed development and all future development upstream in the sewer basin. The existing lift station would then be demolished. Due to the likely potential for mechanical and electrical failures and the complications that arise when these failures occur, developments shall maximize gravity flows while minimizing the use of lift stations and grinder pumps.

Only developments lower in elevation than an existing lift station or gravity main AND lower in elevation that the path of sewer main construction may, upon approval of the Public Works Director, use grinder pumps in lieu of constructing a new lift station.

The City's Public Works Department provides continuous maintenance of the existing collection system. Future needs of the existing collection system are mostly limited to projects requiring rehabilitation of the lift stations. However, through the modeling of projected wastewater flows, no projects have been identified in the short term as necessary to increase the capacity of a gravity sewer main. Funding for the ongoing maintenance of the existing collection system, including rehabilitation of existing lift stations and replacement of existing sewer mains may be funded by utility connection fees and utility rates.

Specific facility improvements anticipated to accommodate the upcoming six year planning period are listed in Table 12.5.

#### Future Wastewater Treatment Plant Needs

To treat wastewater flows and waste load projections for the anticipated 20 year planning horizon the City will need to increase the permitted capacity of the treatment plant. With the construction of the Phase I improvements to the WWTP <u>scheduled to be completed</u> in 20<u>10</u>09, the City anticipates the need for completing the design and construction of the Phase II WWP improvements and extending the marine portion of the wastewater outfall into Colvos Passage to receive approval on an increased wastewater discharge.

#### Reclaimed Water Investigation.

The State has identified reclaimed water as an important water resource management strategy that can offer benefits related to potable water supply, wastewater management, and environmental enhancement. The City has acknowledged the State's acceptance and promotion of reclaimed water as being a viable and important water resource management tool through the adoption of a comprehensive plan goal for the wastewater utility to explore options to create reclaimed water. Table 12.5 identifies an annual project for the study and investigation of wastewater reuse and reclaimed water.

#### Water System

#### **Existing Capital Facilities**

The City of Gig Harbor Water System, limited by its retail water service area (RWSA), is unique in that many residents within the City limits and the City's UGA receive water service from adjacent water purveyors. Approximately 35% of the population within the City limits and City's UGA receives water from the City, and the remainder within the City limits and City's UGA receive water from other water purveyors or from private wells.

The City of Gig Harbor Water System was originally built in the late 1940's. Today, the City's RWSA encompasses approximately 4.4 square miles with 1,927 service connections serving approximately 4,700 people. The City operates six groundwater wells that supply water to its water service customers, and has more than 37 miles of pipeline and six reservoirs located around the City. Summaries of the City's well source supply and storage facilities are provided in Table 12.1 and Table 12.2, respectively, below. The City also provides wholesale water service to multiple customers outside the City's RWSA, and has an emergency intertie with one purveyor.

1 at	Table 12.1 - Summary of wen Source Supply						
Well	Location	Date	Capacity	Depth (Ft.)	Status		
No.	(Sec-Twnshp-Rge)	Drilled	(GPM)				
1	8-21N-2E	1949	120	246 <del>320</del>	Inactive		
2	32-22N-2E	1962	280	116	Active		
3	17-21N-2E	1978	750	745	Active		
4	8-21N-2E	1988	200	399	Active		

#### Table 12.1 - Summary of Well Source Supply

c a .

**F** 11.1

5	7-21N-2E	1990	543	705	Active
6	7-21N-2E	1991	975	566	Active
7	31-22N-2E	N/A	40	393	Inactive
8	17-21N-2E	1965	20	231	Active

Source: City of Gig Harbor Water Facilities Inventory (WFI) Report, 2008; DOE Water Right Certificates

Table 12.2 - Summary of Storage Facilities							
Storage Facility	Associated	Total Capacity	Base	Overflow			
	with Well No.	(gallons)	Elevation (ft)	Elevation (ft)			
East Tank	2	250,000	304	320			
Harbor Heights Tank 1	4	250,000	290	320			
Harbor Heights Tank 2	4	250,000	290	320			
Shurgard Tank	3	590,000	339	450			
Skansie Tank	5&6	1,000,000	338	450			
Gig Harbor North Tank	None	2,300,000	301	450			
Total		4,640,000					

Source: City of Gig Harbor 2009\_Water System Plan

As with most municipalities, the City's water distribution system has developed continuously as demands and the customer base have grown. This evolution has created a distribution system comprised of pipes of various materials, sizes, and ages. Some areas of the City have pipe materials, sizes, and age that do not meet current construction standards or underperform. A detailed description of the existing water supply system may be found in the City of Gig Harbor Water System Plan.

#### Level of Service

**T** 11 10 0 0

The City introduced a <u>code</u> requirement in January 2001 through Ordinance #862 for most new development and redevelopment projects to request a portion of capacity of the City's water system through the water capacity reservation certificate (CRC) process. <u>Each CRC reserves a specific number of gallons per day based on the current value of an equivalent residential unit (ERU) Since the City has limited capacity to withdraw water, the City identifies by way of the water CRC process those projects that the City's water system has adequate <del>public facilities to treat capacity to provide water</del>.</u>

The City's Water System Plan identifies the City's current annual water rights at 10,110 ERUs and a projected water demand in 2018 at 7,012 ERUs. Based on annual water rights the City has capacity to serve water beyond the next six years.

Analysis of the existing storage facilities in the City of Gig Harbor Water System Plan indicates that the City can meet all of its storage needs through the 20-year planning horizon with existing facilities by nesting standby storage and fireflow storage. Consequently the City is not currently

#### planning for additional storage facilities in the 20-year planning horizon.

#### Forecast of Future Needs

The City has used a demographics forecasting allocation model (DFAM) to forecast future population growth on undeveloped and underdeveloped parcels within the City's RWSA. The primary input to the DFAM was a result of the City's Buildable Lands Analysis. The resulting population growth was then correlated to the generation of water demands to provide an estimate of the water demands throughout the City's UGA. These forecasted water demands are described further in the City's Water System Plan.

The City has used results of the DFAM and water system modeling to analyze future demands and the resulting impacts to the City's water supply, distribution system, and storage.

The City's planned water supply meets the short-term projected demands. However, it is the City's goal to meet the maximum day water demand with the largest source out of service. This increases the City's reliability and redundancy of their water supply system. Currently the City's water system cannot meet this goal. Therefore additional sources, including up to two new deep aquifer wells and one shallow aquifer well, are planned to meet this goal. The deep aquifer wells may produce up to 1,000 acre-ft per year and 1,000 gallons per minute each and are denoted as Well No. 9 (adjacent to the Gig Harbor North reservoir), Well 11 (location undetermined adjacent to the Harbor Heights reservoirs). The shallow aquifer well may produce up to 750 acre ft per year and 500 gallons per minute and is denoted as Well No. 10 (located within Crescent Creek Park).

The City's water distribution system is generally strong. The strong water system is, in part, due to the replacement of undersized pipes and the replacement of older asbestos cement (AC) water mains. As a result the programming is continued for systematic replacement of undersized pipes to meet minimum fire flows and replacing older AC water mains with either ductile iron pipe or polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe.

Analysis of the existing storage facilities in the City of Gig Harbor Water System Plan indicates that the City can meet all of its storage needs through the 20-year planning horizon with existing facilities by nesting standby storage and fireflow storage. Consequently the City is not currently planning for additional storage facilities in the 20 year planning horizon.

Specific facility improvements required to accommodate the upcoming six-year planning period are listed in Table 12.5.

#### Parks, Recreation & Open Space Facilities

#### Existing Facilities

The City has a number of public park facilities, providing a range of recreational opportunities. These facilities are listed in Table 12.3 and described in greater detail below.

The City of Gig Harbor owns 18 parks ranging in size from 0.10 of an acre to 17.74 acres. Included in that total are four designated trails that range from 0.2 of a mile to 4 miles in length. Park profiles on each city park facility are included in the 2010 Park Recreation and Open Space Plan as Appendix A to that plan.

The Gig Harbor park classification system includes: neighborhood parks, waterfront parks, natural parks and trails. Open spaces are designated as open space properties, undeveloped park lands, or other properties. Table 12.3 documents the City's existing park facilities.

**Neighborhood Parks** are developed for both passive and active recreation, and are accessible by walking, biking, or driving. They have support facilities such as restrooms and parking. These parks may typically include athletic fields, sports courts, trails, playgrounds, open space and picnicking facilities. Gig Harbor has three neighborhood parks totaling 21.91 acres. City Park at Crescent Creek, Kenneth Leo Marvin Veterans Memorial Park, and the Civic Center are all designated as Neighborhood Parks.

Waterfront Parks are located on the shoreline and generally provide a mix of water related uses and forms of access to the shoreline. These parks typically include historic structures or uses that are planned for preservation in keeping with the City's maritime heritage. The City actively works to balance uses within these parks to provide a mix of recreation opportunities, historic preservation, and community gathering spaces. Gig Harbor has six waterfront parks totaling 7.69 acres. Austin Estuary, Bogue Viewing Platform, Eddon Boat Park, Old Ferry Landing (Harborview Drive Street End), Jerisich Dock, and Skansie Brothers Park are all designated as Waterfront Parks.

**Natural Parks** preserve critical areas, urban forests and historic sites for future generations and include low impact recreational uses. Such sites are often developed with ancillary uses that are compatible with or support the primary preservation of the sites key features, such as the garden program located at Wilkinson Farm Park or the hatchery program located at Donkey Creek Park. Gig Harbor has four natural parks totaling 39.46 acres. Adam Tallman Park, Donkey Creek Park, Grandview Forest Park, and Wilkinson Farm Park are all designated as Natural Parks.

**Trails** include both linear trails (measured in miles) and trail support facilities (measured in acres). Trails are generally off-street transportation and recreation options either paved or unpaved that connect two points and are often located in a utility or undeveloped road right of way. While many of the City's parks provide access trails that loop through a park site, trails are linear in nature. The City has also designated one on-street trail, Harborview Trail, due to the importance of this corridor for recreational use and as a connector between waterfront parks. Gig Harbor has four designated trails totaling 6.25 miles. Additionally these trails are served by three support facilities totaling 1.37 acres. The Cushman Trail, Finholm View Climb, Harborview Trail, and Stanich Trail are all designated trails within the City.

Undeveloped Park Lands are properties acquired or owned by the City for park purposes, which have not yet been developed. These properties are anticipated to be developed into parks in the future and will be move to the appropriate classification as they are developed. The City presently owns six undeveloped park lands totaling 8.03 acres. **Open Space Properties** are natural lands set aside for preservation of significant natural resources, open space or buffering. These lands are typically characterized by critical areas such as wetlands, slopes and shorelines; significant natural vegetation, shorelines, or other environmentally sensitive areas. This classification is used for preserved lands which are not currently planned for development into parks due to physical constraints or other limitations. The City of Gig Harbor has four designated open space properties totaling 25.79 acres.

Other Properties include lands which do not presently provide park, recreation or open space amenities but are in City ownership and possibly could be redeveloped for such uses in the future. These sites are not presently planned for redevelopment. Two other properties are listed in the City's PROS inventory totaling 0.41 acres.

It should be noted that this inventory includes only City of Gig Harbor parks and open spaces; the Gig Harbor Peninsula is served by a variety of park and recreation service providers, and a detailed inventory of all public facilities on the Peninsula is not included in this plan. Information taken from the County's geographic information system indicates more than 900 acres of park, recreation and open space lands exist in public ownership on the Gig Harbor Peninsula. The City's system represents a little over 10% of the public lands set aside on the Peninsula for park, recreation and open space uses.

	<b>Name of Facility</b>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Size</u>	<u>Park</u> <u>Classification</u>
	City Park at Crescent Creek	3303 Vernhardson Street 9702 Crescent Valley Drive NW	<u>9.79</u>	Neighborhood
	<u>Kenneth Leo Marvin Veterans</u> <u>Memorial Park</u>	3580 50th Street	<u>5.57</u>	Neighborhood
	<u>Civic Center (includes Greens</u> and Skate Park)	3510 Grandview Street	<u>6.55</u>	<u>Neighborhood</u>
		Total Neighbor	hood Parks	<u>21.91</u>
	<u>Austin Estuary*</u>	4009 Harborview Drive	<u>1.38</u>	Waterfront
	<b>Bogue Viewing Platform</b>	8803 North Harborview Drive	<u>0.10</u>	Waterfront
	<u>Eddon Boat Park</u>	3805 Harborview Drive	<u>2.89</u>	<u>Waterfront</u>
ks	Jerisich Dock	<u>3211 Harborview Drive</u>	<u>0.56</u>	Waterfront
Parks	<u>Old Ferry Landing</u> (Harborview Street End)	2700 Harborview Drive	<u>0.17</u>	Waterfront
	<u>Skansie Brothers Park</u>	<u>3207 Harborview Drive</u>	<u>2.59</u>	Waterfront
		Total Water	front Parks	<u>7.69</u>
	<u>Adam Tallman Park</u>	<u>6626 Wagner Way</u>	<u>11.84</u>	Natural
	Donkey Creek Park	8714 North Harborview Drive	<u>1.30</u>	<u>Natural</u>
	<b>Grandview Forest Park</b>	3488 Grandview Street	<u>8.58</u>	<u>Natural</u>
	Wilkinson Farm Park	4118 Rosedale Street NW	<u>17.74</u>	<u>Natural</u>
		<u>Total Nat</u>	tural Parks	<u>39.46</u>
	<u>Cushman Trail</u>		<u>4 miles</u>	<u>Trail</u>
	Trailhead at Grandview	3908 Grandview	0.45 acres	<u>Trail</u>

Table 12.3. Existing Park Facilities

	Trailhead at Hollycroft	2626 Hollycroft Street	0.60 acres	Trail
			0.05	
		8826 North Harborview Drive (bottom)	miles	
	<u>Finholm View Climb</u>	8917 Franklin Avenue (top)	<u>0.32 acres</u>	<u>Trail</u>
		Harborview and North Harborview		
	<u>Harborview Trail</u>	Streets	<u>2 miles</u>	<u>Trail</u>
		Undeveloped portion of Erickson		
	<u>Stanich Trail</u>	Street	<u>0.2 miles</u>	<u>Trail</u>
			ls (by area)	<u>1.37</u>
		<u>Total Trails</u>	(by length)	<u>6.25</u>
		<u>To</u>	otal Parks	<u>70.43</u>
	Cushman Trailhead at Borgen	5280 Borgen (not yet constructed)	0.18	<u>Undeveloped</u>
	<b>BB-16 Mitigation bonus site</b>	WEST of Burnham interchange	<u>0.45</u>	<u>Undeveloped</u>
	Museum (Donkey Creek)			
	Easement	Harbor History Museum shoreline area	<u>0.43</u>	<u>Undeveloped</u>
	<b>Rushmore Park (outside City</b>			
	Limits)	In Plat of Rushmore	<u>1.07</u>	<u>Undeveloped</u>
	Wheeler Street End	Wheeler (undeveloped)	0.08	<u>Undeveloped</u>
ties	WWTP Park/Open Space	4212 Harborview Drive	<u>5.82</u>	<u>Undeveloped</u>
Der		<u>Total Undeveloped 1</u>	Park Lands	<u>8.03</u>
rol	Austin Estuary Tidelands	4009 Harborview Drive	7.07	Open Space
Other Properties	<b>BB-16 Wetland Mitigation Site</b>	SE corner of Burnham and Borgen	10.49	Open Space
the	<u>Harbor Hill Open Space</u>	Gig Harbor North Area	<u>8.09</u>	Open Space
0	<b>Old Ferry Landing (adjacent</b>			
	<u>bluff)</u>	Adjacent to Old Ferry Landing	<u>0.14</u>	Open Space
		Open Space	<u>25.79</u>	
	<b>Bogue Visitors Center</b>	3125 Judson Street	<u>0.15</u>	Other
	Soundview Street End	w Street End End of Soundview		
		Total Other	• Properties	<u>0.41</u>
		<u>Total Other P</u>	<u>roperties</u>	<u>34.22</u>

\* Austin Estuary tidelands are included under open space

#### TOTAL PARK RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE LANDS104.65

<b>Facility</b>	Size	Location	Type of Recreation
	(Acres)		
City Park at Crescent	<del>9.8</del>	Vernhardson Street	Active; Park, athletic facilities, play
Creek			fields
			Passive; picnic area
Jerisich Dock	1.5	Rosedale Street at	Moorage; water access; fishing
		Harborview Drive	
Grandview Forest Park	<del>8.8</del>	Grandview Drive	Passive; trail system
Old Ferry Landing	0.1	Harborview Drive, east	Passive; view point
		end	_
Donkey Creek Park	1.3	Located at the intersecting	Passive; historical, scenic, nature
		parcel defined by Austin	area
		Street, Harborview Drive	
		and North Harborview	
		Drive	

Eddon Boat Park	<del>2.9</del>	Located at the intersection of Stinson Avenue and Harborview Drive.	Passive; historical.
Wilkinson's Homestead	<del>16.3</del>	Rosedale Street	Passive:; Historical, walking trail, community garden
Tallman's Wetlands	<del>16.0</del>	Wollochet Drive NW	Passive:; Trails
WWTP (Wastewater Treatment Plant)	<del>9.3</del>	Burnham Drive	Passive; (proposed) walking trails Active; (proposed) hike, bike and horse trails
Wheeler Street ROW end	0.4	Vernhardson Street	Passive; beach access
Bogue Viewing Platform	0.4	North Harborview Drive	Passive; picnic area
Finholm Hillelimb	0.4	Fuller Street between Harbor Ridge Middle School and the Northshore area.	Passive; walkway and viewing point
Dorotich Street ROW	0.4	West side of bay	Passive; Street End Park
Soundview Drive ROW end	<del>0.4</del>	West side of bay adjoining Tides Tavern	Passive; Public Access dock
Harborview Trail	<del>1.4</del>	Harborview Drive and North Harborview Drive	Passive; bike and pedestrian trails
Bogue Building	0.04	3105 Judson Street	Passive; historical
Public Works/ Parks Yard	7.5	46 <sup>th</sup> -Avenue NW	Passive; storage of parks equipment
City Hall/Civic Center	<del>10.0</del>	Grandview Drive adjacent to Grandview Forest Park	Active; athletic fields, recreational courts, skatepark Passive; picnic area
Kenneth Leo Marvin Veterans Memorial Park	<del>5.5</del>	50 <sup>th</sup> -Street near Olympic Drive.	Active: multi purpose field, and play structures Passive: picnic area and open space
Skansie Brothers Park	<del>2.0</del>	Rosedale Street at Harborview Drive	Passive; historical, picnic area.
Austin Estuary	<del>1.8</del>	Located adjacent to Donkey Creek in the Northwest corner of the harbor.	Passive; historical, scenic, nature area

**City Park** - this 9.8 acre property is located on Vernhardson Street on the east side of Crescent Creek. The park is improved with athletic facilities including a tennis court, basketball court, and youth baseball/softball field. The park's active recreation has been expanded in recent years to include a BMX dirt bike course and a sand volleyball court accessed off of Crescent Valley Drive. The City purchased property in 2008 north of the existing park site for future development and open space preservation. Additional open space property was also acquired west of the stream through the County Conservation Futures program in 2008.

The western portion of the site conserves the banks, wetlands, and other natural areas adjacent to Crescent Creek. This portion of the site has been improved with a playground structure, picnic tables, viewing platform, picnic shelter, restrooms, parking area and a pump house building.

Skansie Brothers Park/Jerisich Dock - These waterfront parks are located adjacent to each other at 3207 and 3211 Harborview Drive respectively and have a total area of 3.5 acres. The Jerisich Dock site has been developed with a flagpole, monument for lost fishermen, and recreational pier. The acquisition of the Skansie Brothers property in 2002 expanded the park to include a netshed and historic house which both stand south of Jerisich Dock.

Restrooms, picnic tables, and benches are provided on Jerisich's 1,500 square foot pier supported deck overlooking the harbor and adjacent marinas. The deck provides gangplank access to a 352 foot long, 2,752 square foot fishing and boat moorage floating dock. The dock provides 420 feet of day use boat moorage, access for kayaks and other hand carry watercraft, and fishing. The dock is used on a first come basis to capacity, particularly during summer weekends. The Skansie Brothers site has been developed with a covered pavilion with adjacent grass area that is utilized for seasonal public events. A boat sewage pump out is provided at no charge, April through October.

**Grandview Forest Park** - Grandview Forest Park — this 8.8 acre site is located on Grandview Drive adjacent to the Civic Center. The park site surrounds the city water storage towers on a hilltop overlooking the harbor and downtown district. The densely wooded site has been improved with walking trails and paths that provide access to surrounding residential developments and the Civic Center complex. Parking for this park is located on the Civic Center site.

**Old Ferry Landing -** this 1.0 acre site is located at the east end of Harborview Drive overlooking Point Defiance across the Narrows and Dalco passage. Portions of the original marine and ferry dock landing piles are visible from the end of the road right of way that extends into the tidelands. Site has been improved to include picnic facilities, parking and a shoreline view platform.

**Donkey Creek Park** – this 1.3 acre property is located in the intersecting parcel defined by Austin Street, North Harborview Drive, and Burnham Drive. The site historically was the site of the Borgen lumber yard. The site is presently developed with a restroom facility, picnic tables and open field.

The site is bisected by Donkey (North) Creek a perennial stream that provides salmonoid habitat including an on-going hatchery operation located on the north bank adjacent to North Harborview Drive. A viewing platform allows for visual stream access.

**Wilkinson's Homestead -** this 16.3 acre site is located on Rosedale Street adjacent to Tacoma City Light powerlines. The property contains large wetlands, steep hillsides under the powerline corridor, the family homestead, barn, outbuildings, former holly orchard, and meadows. The site is accessed from a driveway off Rosedale Street and from the Cushman Trail.

**Tallman's Wetlands** - this 16.0 acre property is located on Wollochet Drive NW south of SR-16. The site contains significant wetlands that collects and filters stormwater runoff from the surrounding lands. This park was developed with interpretive trails and off street parking. The park was constructed and dedicated to the City by the developer of the Mallards Landing plat in accordance with the annexation agreement.

Wastewater Treatment Plant - the 9.3 acre wastewater treatment plant facility is located on the west side of Burnham Drive on Donkey (North) Creek. While the principal use of the site is treatment of wastewater, the site includes preserved open space associated with Donkey Creek and adjacent wetlands.

A 3.3 acre portion of the expansion area may be developed to provide a trailhead connection to the Cushman Trail on the overhead powerline property located parallel to SR-16. The powerline right-of-way has been improved to provide a non-motorized trail system.

Wheeler Street Right-of-Way (ROW) End - this 0.4 acre road right-of-way is located at the north end of the bay adjacent to Crescent Creek in a quiet residential neighborhood. This site is presently undeveloped.

**Bogue Viewing Platform -** this 0.4 acre harbor overlook is located on waterfront side of North Harborview Drive north of the intersection with Burnham Drive. The site has been improved with a pier supported, multilevel wood deck, picnic tables, benches, and planting. A sanitary sewer pump station is located with the park.

**Finholm Hillclimb** - this 0.4 acre road right of way is located in Fuller Street extending between Harbor Ridge Middle School and the North shore business district. A wooden stairway system with overlook platforms, viewing areas, and benches has been developed between Franklin Avenue and North Harborview Drive as a joint effort involving the Lions Club, volunteers and city materials.

**Dorotich Street (ROW)** - this 0.4 acre road right of way is located on the west side of the bay adjoining residential condominiums and some commercial waterfront facilities. A private access dock has been developed at Arabella's Landing Marina that serves as the street-end park.

**Soundview Drive ROW** - - this 0.4 acre road right-of -way is located on the west side of the bay adjoining Tides Tavern (the former Westside Grocery). The present and former owners maintain and provide a public access dock on the right of way for use of tavern patrons.

**Harborview Trail** - this 1.4 mile trail corridor is located within the public street right-of-way of Harborview Drive and North Harborview Drive. Additional road width was constructed (between curbs) to provide for painted on-road bike lanes on both sides of the roadway around the west and north shores of the harbor from Soundview Drive to Vernhardson/96<sup>th</sup> Street NW and City Park.

Curb gutters, sidewalks, and occasional planting and seating areas have been developed on both sides of the roadway from Soundview Drive to Peacock Hill Avenue. Sidewalks have also been extended on Soundview Drive, Pioneer Way, Rosedale Street, Austin Street adjacent to North (Donkey) Creek, and Burnham Drive will include provisions for pedestrians and bicyclists. Limited improvements have been constructed on Peacock Hill.

**Bogue Building** – this 0.4 acre property and 1, 800 square foot building is located on Judson Street within the downtown district. The one story, wood frame building was previously used by the Gig Harbor Planning and Building Department and is presently a volunteer and visitor center.

**Public Works / Parks Yard** the 7.5 acre Public Works Yard is located north of Gig Harbor High School just west of 46<sup>th</sup> Street NW. The shop compound includes 3 buildings that provide

4,760 square feet, 2,304 square feet, and 1,800 square feet or 8,864 square feet in total of shop and storage space. Approximately 3,000 square feet of building or 0.52 acres of the site are used to store park equipment, materials, and plantings.

**City Hall/Civic Center** – this 10.0 acre site is located on Grandview Street adjacent to Grandview Forest Park. The site currently contains City offices, multi-use athletic fields, playground, recreational courts, a skateboard court, a boulder rock climbing wall, and wooded picnic area.

**Kenneth Leo Marvin Veteran's Memorial Park** the "Westside" park is accessible from 50<sup>th</sup> Street. This park is a memorial park and includes a dual purpose baseball/soccer field, restrooms/, picnic shelter, big toy and veterans monument. Future plans for the park include additional play structures, nature trails and half basketball court.

**Eddon Boat Park** with the support of the community and funding raised through a bond levy, the City purchased the Eddon Boat facility at the intersection of Harborview Drive and Stinson Avenue. The park currently includes a historic boat building and small brick house. The City plans to provide passive recreational water access and to restore the historic boat building and dock for public access and maritime programming.

**Austin Estuary** The estuary and upland tidelands will be preserved in connection with the Donkey Creek Restoration for passive recreational use. The park is located in the northwest corner of the harbor near the intersection of Harborview Drive and North Harborview Drive.

**Cushman Trail** the current trail runs from 14<sup>th</sup> Avenue NW in the County north to 96<sup>th</sup> Street. City trailhead facilities are located at Hollycroft Street and at Grandview Street. Future plans include expansion of the trail first to Borgen Boulevard (where another trailhead is planned) and then north to the Purdy Spit.

Long term the City and the county would like to develop the trail further so that it connects to the bike lanes of the new Gig Harbor Narrow's Bridge and north to the Purdy Spit.

#### Level of Service

The City established levels of service for the park system in Ordinance # 1191, 2010 Park, Recreation and Open Space Plan (2010 Park Plan) to maintain and improve upon existing levels of service (ELOS). Planned levels of service (PLOS) were established for each category of park, and for the system as a whole to assure a variety of recreation opportunities will be available as the City grows. The level of service standards adopted by the City for the park system are expressed as the number of acres (or miles) per 1000 residents for a particular classification of park. Table 12.4 documents existing levels of service (ELOS) and proposed levels of service (PLOS).

#### Forecast of Future Needs

The Park Plan utilized levels of service based on the total City population and considered both current and projected levels of service based on anticipated population growth. The population projection, used in this section, reflects the City's most recent population allocation of 10,500 residents in the year 2030. This population projection reflects the slowdown in growth that has occurred since 2008 and reflects a change in regional population allocations designed to locate future housing near employment centers. The 2030 population allocation in combination with the

PLOS allows the City to calculate the amount of park land needed to achieve the planned service level (Table 12.4).

		<u>2010</u>	<u>2030</u>	<u>2030</u>
		<u>Existing</u>	Planned	Additional
	<u>Existing</u>	Level of	Level of	Area
Park Type	<u>Acres</u>	<u>Service</u>	<u>Service</u>	<u>Needed</u>
Neighborhood Parks	<u>21.91</u>	<u>2.91</u>	<u>5.00</u>	<u>30.59</u>
Waterfront Parks	<u>7.69</u>	<u>1.02</u>	<u>1.00</u>	<u>2.81</u>
Natural Parks	<u>39.46</u>	<u>5.25</u>	<u>5.25</u>	<u>15.63</u>
<u>Total Parks</u>	<u>70.43</u>	<u>9.36</u>	<u>12.00</u>	<u>55.57</u>
Trails (in miles)	<u>6.25</u>	<u>0.83</u>	<u>1.17</u>	<u>6.04</u>

	Table 12.4 Existing	g and Pro	posed Level of Service Standards
--	---------------------	-----------	----------------------------------

Future needs for park, recreation and open spaces are also tied to achieving the expressed desires of this community. In the 2010 Park Plan update process several, key themes emerged which guided the creation of the acquisition and development plan. Key themes included trail development, expanding partnerships to leverage City funds, pursuing the acquisition of additional land in developing areas, and improving public access to natural features.

To meet the future demand the City plans for park improvements include both land acquisitions and development projects within existing parks or undeveloped lands. Specific facility improvements required to accommodate the upcoming six-year planning period are listed in Table 12.5.

The City has adopted a level of service for community parks of 7.1 gross acres of general open space and 1.5 gross acres of active recreational area per 1,000 residents. According to the parks inventory conducted for the Park, Recreation, and Open Space Plan, the City had about 54 acres of public open space (passive recreation) and about 16 acres of active recreation facilities in 2001. Using the 2000 Census population figure, the City met its level of service standards at that time.

Table 12.4. Recreational Facilities and Level of Service

<b>Type of Facility</b>	LOS Standard	2001 Need	2001 Actual	2022 Need	Additional
	<del>(Acres/1,000)</del>	<del>(Acres)</del>	(Acres)	(Acres)	<b>Acreage</b>
Open Space:	7.1	<del>46</del>	<del>53.6</del>	<del>76.7</del>	<del>23.1</del>
Active Recreation:	<del>1.5</del>	<del>9.7</del>	<del>15.8</del>	<del>16.2</del>	0.40
Total:		<del>55.7</del>	<del>69.4</del>	<del>92.9</del>	<del>23.5</del>

Alternative level of service standards, such as those recommended by the National Recreation and Park Association (NRPA) are compared to the City's current service levels in the Park, Recreation, and Open Space Plan. The NRPA standards provide a finer level of measurement for specialized function facilities relative to the population size. This can provide an additional planning tool to ensure that all segments of the community are served according to their needs.

In addition to City-owned facilities, residents of the greater Gig Harbor community have access to facilities owned and operated by others. These include facilities associated with the Peninsula School District schools in and around the City, Pierce County's Peninsula Recreation Center and Randall Street Boat Launch, Tacoma's Madrona Links public golf course, and various private parks, including Canterwood Golf Course, sporting facilities, marinas, and boat landings. According to the Park, Recreation and Open Space Plan, all public and private agencies, and other public and private organizations owned 963.4 acres or about 80.3 acres for every 1,000 persons living within the City and its urban growth area in 2000. Therefore, while the City's level of service standards provides a guide for ensuring a minimum provision of park and recreation land, the actual capacity of all such facilities is significantly higher.

Proposed parks capital facility improvements are listed on Table 12.5

#### **Stormwater System**

#### Existing Facilities

The Puget Sound and in particular Gig Harbor, Henderson Bay, and Wollochet Bay are the receiving water bodies of the City of Gig Harbor's storm system. The storm system consists of catch basins, pipe, drainage ditches, natural streams such as Donkey Creek and McCormick Creek, wetlands, ponds, and stormwater detention and water quality facilities. The Operations and Maintenance Department is responsible for approximately 30 stormwater ponds, 1,650 catch basins, 12 miles of drainage ditches and over 33 miles of storm pipe. Annually these numbers will increase as development continues to occur, CIP projects are constructed and new areas are annexed by the City. With the approximately 45 miles of pipe and drainage ditches discharging to the receiving waters of the Puget Sound, which is habitat to various fish and wildlife such as Chinook, coho, steelhead, bald eagles and herons. It is important to protect and improve the water quality of the various water bodies in the City.

The objective of the City's stormwater operation and maintenance program is to assure that all the elements of the stormwater system are functioning properly to avoid any impacts to the environment and properties. The program includes operation and maintenance of storm systems being performed by many entities, including the City's Public Works Department, homeowners association, and property management companies. Scheduled maintenance tasks and inspections are regularly performed and are essential to the program. Major system problems are avoided when defects are identified and addressed in a timely manner.

Through the Clean Water Act and other legislation at the federal level, the Washington State Department of Ecology has been delegated the authority to implement rules and regulations that meet the goals of the Clean Water Act. As part of these rules and regulations, the Department of Ecology issued the Western Washington Phase II Municipal Stormwater Permit (Permit) to the City of Gig Harbor in January 2007. The Permit authorizes the discharge of stormwater to surface waters and to ground waters of the State from Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) owned or operated by the City of Gig Harbor. By being identified as a Permittee the City is required to satisfy many obligations during the five-year permit period.

The City has been proactive in satisfying the requirements of this Permit. In 2006, the City prepared a gap analysis comparing the existing City stormwater program to the Permit requirements. According to the gap analysis, public participation, City staff training and stormwater policies appear to be the areas that the City will need to focus their efforts. Other obligations required by the Permit include the development of a stormwater management program and development of an enforceable mechanism, such as an ordinance, controlling runoff from development and construction sites, including adoption of a new stormwater technical manual. The City's stormwater management program along with the City's stormwater-related ordinances establishes a level of service for both public and private development projects.

The Permit requirements are being phased in over the course of the life of the permit. At the end of the permit, or sooner if required by law, the City will likely be issued a new permit with new permit requirements that are additive to the existing permit requirements.

#### Level of Service

In connection with the preparation of the City's Stormwater Comprehensive Plan, storm system modeling was performed at a planning level to identify system needs under future full build-out land use conditions. The City selected seven storm trunklines to be analyzed. These trunklines were selected based on known past conveyance and/or sedimentation problems and possible future system impacts due to development.

In general, the City's stormwater infrastructure is sufficient to convey stormwater runoff. And the stormwater management and development guidelines for future developments require runoff rates at developed conditions to meet runoff rates of undeveloped conditions. Therefore little to no net increase in stormwater runoff rates should occur as development continues and the level of service provided by the stormwater utility will remain adequate.

However, a list of recommended storm system capital improvement projects is identified in the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) of the Stormwater Comprehensive Plan. In March 2008 the City initiated a Stormwater General Facility Charge for funding these stormwater CIP projects.

The types of improvements identified and the implementation scheduled provided in the Stormwater Comprehensive Plan primarily include NPDES Phase 2 permitting requirements, maintenance projects, and habitat projects. Storm system and habitat improvement projects identified in the CIP are based on the Staff's knowledge of the service area, past studies and the hydrologic/hydraulic system analysis.

Through the Clean Water Act and other legislation at the federal level, the Washington State Department of Ecology has been delegated the authority to implement rules and regulations that meet the goals of the Clean Water Act. As part of these rules and regulations, the Department of Ecology issued the Western Washington Phase II Municipal Stormwater Permit (Permit) to the City of Gig Harbor in January 2007. The Permit authorizes the discharge of stormwater to surface waters and to ground waters of the State from Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) owned or operated by the City of Gig Harbor. By being identified as a Permittee the City is required to satisfy many obligations during the five year permit period.

The City has been proactive in satisfying the requirements of this Permit. In 2006, the City prepared a gap analysis comparing the existing City stormwater program to the Permit requirements. According to the gap analysis, public participation, City staff training and stormwater policies appear to be the areas that the City will need to focus their efforts. Other obligations required by the Permit include the development of a stormwater management program and development of an enforceable mechanism, such as an ordinance, controlling runoff from development and construction sites, including adoption of a new stormwater technical manual. The City's stormwater management program along with the City's stormwater related ordinances establishes a level of service for both public and private development projects.

The Permit requirements are being phased in over the course of the life of the permit. At the end of the permit, or sooner if required by law, the City will likely be issued a new permit with new permit requirements that are additive to the existing permit requirements.

#### Forecast of Future Needs

Specific facility improvements required to accommodate the upcoming six-year planning period are listed in Table 12.5.

In connection with the preparation of the City's Stormwater Comprehensive Plan, storm system modeling was performed at a planning level to identify system needs under future full build-out land use conditions. The City selected seven storm trunklines to be analyzed. These trunklines were selected based on known past conveyance and/or sedimentation problems and possible future system impacts due to development.

Recommended storm system improvements are identified in the Capital Improvement Plan of the Stormwater Comprehensive Plan and to meet the needs of the environment, future development and growth. In March 2008 the City initiated a Stormwater General Facility Charge for funding stormwater CIP projects.

The types of improvements identified and scheduled include capacity, facility and habitat projects. Capacity problems can also be resolved in many ways including increased facility sizing, pipe replacement, and flow control facilities. Onsite or regional facilities can reduce flows to minimize capacity impacts on the existing storm system. Regional facility locations should be considered as an alternative to pipe replacement. Storm system and habitat improvement projects identified in the CIP are based on the Staff's knowledge of the service area, past studies and the hydrologic/hydraulic system analysis.

#### **CAPITAL FACILITIES PROGRAM**

A Capital Facilities Program (CFP) is a six-year plan for capital improvements that are supportive of the City's population and economic base as well as near-term (within six years) growth. Capital facilities are funded through several funding sources which can consist of a combination of local, state and federal tax revenues.

The Capital Facilities Program works in concert generally with the land-use element. In essence, the land use plan establishes the "community vision" while the capital facilities plan provides for the essential resources to attain that vision. An important linkage exists between the capital facilities plan, land-use and transportation elements of the plan. A variation (change) in one element (i.e. a change in land use or housing density) would significantly affect the other plan elements, particularly the capital facilities plan. It is this dynamic linkage that requires all elements of the plan to be internally consistent. Internal consistency of the plan's elements imparts a degree of control (checks and balances) for the successful implementation of the Comprehensive Plan. This is the concurrence mechanism that makes the plan work as intended.

The first year of the Capital Facilities Program will be converted to the annual capital budget, while the remaining five year program will provide long-term planning. It is important to note that only the expenditures and appropriations in the annual budget are binding financial commitments. Projections for the remaining five years are not binding and the capital projects recommended for future development may be altered or not developed due to cost or changed conditions and circumstances.

#### Definition of Capital Improvement

The Capital Facilities Element is concerned with needed improvements which are of relatively large scale, are generally non-recurring high cost and which may require financing over several years. The list of improvements is limited to major components in order to analyze development trends and impacts at a level of detail which is both manageable and reasonably accurate.

Smaller scale improvements of less than \$25,000 are addressed in the annual budget as they occur over time. For the purposes of capital facility planning, capital improvements are major projects, activities or maintenance, costing over \$25,000 and requiring the expenditure of public funds over and above annual operating expenses. They have a useful life of over ten years and result in an addition to the city's fixed assets and/or extend the life of the existing infrastructure. Capital improvements do not include items such as equipment or "rolling stock" or projects, activities or maintenance which cost less than \$25,000 or which regularly are not part of capital improvements.

Capital improvements may include the design, engineering, permitting and the environmental analysis of a capital project. Land acquisition, construction, major maintenance, site improvements, energy conservation projects, landscaping, initial furnishings and equipment may also be included.

#### Capital Facilities Needs Projections
The City Departments of Public Works, Planning, Building and Fire Safety, Finance and Administration have identified various capital improvements and projects based upon recent surveys and planning programs authorized by the Gig Harbor City Council. Suggested revenue sources were also considered and compiled.

Currently, five <u>six</u> functional plans have been completed:

- City of Gig Harbor Water System Plan (April 2009), as may later be amended by resolution.
- City of Gig Harbor Wastewater Comprehensive Plan (November 2009), as may later be amended by resolution.
- City of Gig Harbor Wastewater Treatment Plan Improvements Engineering Report (April 2003)
- City of Gig Harbor Phase 1 Wastewater Treatment Plan Improvements Technical Memorandum (August 2007)
- City of Gig Harbor Stormwater Comprehensive Plan (October 2009), as may later be amended by resolution.
- <u>The City of Gig Harbor 2010 Park, Recreation, & Open Space Plan (adopted June 2010)</u>

All the plans identify current system configurations and capacities and proposed financing for improvements, and provide the technical information needed to develop the capital facility project lists for this Comprehensive Plan.

#### Prioritization of Projected Needs

The identified capital improvement needs listed were developed by the City Community Development Director, Finance Director, and the City Administrator. The following criteria were applied informally in developing the final listing of proposed projects:

Economics

- Potential for Financing
- Impact on Future Operating Budgets
- Benefit to Economy and Tax Base

Service Consideration

- Safety, Health and Welfare
- Environmental Impact
- Effect on Service Quality

Feasibility

- Legal Mandates
- Citizen Support
- 1992 Community Vision Survey

Consistency

- Goals and Objectives in Other Elements
- Linkage to Other Planned Projects
- Plans of Other Jurisdictions

#### Cost Estimates for Projected Needs

The majority of the cost estimates in this element are presented in 2009 2010 dollars and were derived from various federal and state documents, published cost estimates, records of past expenditures and information from various private contractors.

# FUTURE NEEDS AND ALTERNATIVES

The Capital Facility Plan for the City of Gig Harbor is developed based upon the following analysis:

- Current Revenue Sources
- Financial Resources
- Capital Facilities Policies
- Method for Addressing Shortfalls

#### Current Revenue Sources

The major sources of revenue for the City's major funds are as follows:

Source	Projected ( <del>2009</del> <u>2011</u> )
Sales tax	<u>\$4,744,000 \$4,554,000</u>
Utility tax	<u>\$1,351,000 \$1,309,000</u>
Property tax	\$4 <del>08,000</del> <u>1,798,000</u>
Property tax	\$ <del>1,223,000</del> <u>0</u>
Customer charges	<u>\$906,000 \$1,192,000</u>
Customer charges	<del>\$2,319,000</del> <u>\$3,201,000</u>
Customer charges	<u>\$645,000 _\$717,000</u>
	Sales tax Utility tax Property tax Property tax Customer charges Customer charges

#### Financial Resources

In order to ensure that the city is using the most effective means of collecting revenue, the city inventoried the various sources of funding currently available. Financial regulations and available mechanisms are subject to change. Additionally, changing market conditions influence the city's choice of financial mechanism. The following list of sources include all major financial resources available and is not limited to those sources which are currently in use or which would be used in the six-year schedule of improvements. The list includes the following categories:

- Debt Financing
- Local Levies
- Local Non-Levy Financing
- State Grants and Loans
- Federal Grants and Loans

#### Debt Financing Method

<u>Short-Term Borrowing:</u> Utilization of short-term financing through local banks is a means to finance the high-cost of capital improvements.

<u>Revenue Bonds</u>: Bonds can be financed directly by those benefiting from the capital improvement. Revenue obtained from these bonds is used to finance publicly-owned facilities, such as new or expanded water systems or improvement to the waste water treatment facility. The debt is retired using charges collected from the users of these facilities. In this respect, the capital project is self supporting. Interest rates tend to be higher than for general obligation bonds and the issuance of the bonds may be approved by voter referendum.

<u>General Obligation Bonds:</u> These are bonds which are backed by the full faith and credit of the city. Voter-approved bonds increase property tax rate and dedicate the increased revenue to repay bondholders. Councilmanic bonds do not increase taxes and are repaid with general revenues. Revenue may be used for new capital facilities or maintenance and operations at an existing facility. These bonds should be used for projects that benefit the City as a whole.

## Local Multi-Purpose Levies

<u>Ad Valorem Property Taxes:</u> The tax rate is in mills (1/10 cent per dollar of taxable value). The maximum rate is \$1.60 per \$1,000 assessed valuation. In 2009 2010, the City's tax rate is 0.9406 0.9274 per \$1,000 assessed valuation. The City is prohibited from raising its levy more than one percent. A temporary or permanent excess levy may be assessed with voter approval. Revenue may be used for new capital facilities or maintenance and operation of existing facilities.

<u>Business and Occupation (B and O) Tax:</u> This is a tax of no more that 0.2% of the gross value of business activity on the gross or net income of a business. Assessment increases require voter approval. The City does not currently use a B and O tax. Revenue may be used for new capital facilities or maintenance and operation of existing facilities.

<u>Local Option Sales Tax</u>: The city has levied the maximum of tax of 1%. Revenue may be used for new capital facilities or maintenance and operation of existing facilities.

<u>Utility Tax:</u> This is a tax on the gross receipts of electric, gas, telephone, cable TV, water/sewer, and stormwater utilities. Local discretion up to 6% of gross receipts with voter approval required for an increase above this maximum. Revenue may be used for new capital facilities or

maintenance and operation of existing facilities. The city currently levies a 5% utility tax.

<u>Real Estate Excise Tax:</u> The real estate excise tax is levied on all sales of real estate, measured by the full selling price. In addition to the state rate of 1.28 percent, a locally-imposed tax is also authorized. The city may levy a quarter percent tax and additional quarter percent tax. These funds may only be used to finance eligible capital facilities.

#### Local Single-Purpose Levies

<u>Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax – "Gas Tax"</u>: The state currently levies a tax of 37.5 cents per gallon on motor vehicle fuel under RCW 82.36.025(1) through (6) and on special fuel (diesel) under RCW 82.38.030(1) through (6). Cities receive 10.6961 percent of the 23 cents per gallon tax levied under RCW 82.36.025(1). These funds are distributed monthly on a per capita basis and are to be placed in a city street fund to be spent for street construction, maintenance or repair.

Local Option Motor Vehicle Fuel Excise Tax: Upon a vote of the people, a local option gas tax can be levied countywide at a rate equal to 10 percent of the state rate. Since the state rate is 37.5 cents per gallon, 10 percent currently would be 3.75 cents per gallon. The tax may be implemented only on the first day of January, April, July, or October and expenditure of these funds is limited solely to transportation purposes.

Local Option Commercial Parking Tax: This tax may be levied by a city within its boundaries and by a county in the unincorporated areas. There is no limit on the tax rate and many ways of assessing the tax are allowed. If the city chooses to levy it on parking businesses, it can tax gross proceeds or charge a fixed fee per stall. If the tax is assessed on the driver of a car, the tax rate can be a flat fee or a percentage amount. Rates can vary by any reasonable factor, including location of the facility, time of entry and exit, duration of parking, and type or use of vehicle. The parking business operator is responsible for collecting the tax and remitting it to the city, which must administer it. This tax is subject to a voter referendum. At the present time, Bainbridge Island, Bremerton, Mukilteo, SeaTac, and Tukwila are the only cities that we know are levying this tax. Expenditure of these funds is limited solely to transportation purposes.

<u>Transportation Benefit Districts:</u> Cities, along with counties, may form transportation benefit districts to acquire, construct, improve, provide, and fund transportation improvements in the district that is consistent with any existing state, regional, and local transportation plans and necessitated by existing or reasonably foreseeable congestion levels. The area may include other cities and counties, as well as port and transit districts through interlocal agreements.

Any city passing on ordinance to form a transportation benefit district must also identify revenue options for financing improvements in the district. A district that has coterminous boundaries with a city may levy a \$20 per vehicle license fee or impose transportation impact fees on commercial or industrial buildings, both without voter approval. A credit must be provided for any transportation impact fee on commercial or industrial buildings that the city has already imposed. Similarly, any district that imposes a fee that, in combination with another district's fee, totals more than \$20, must provide a credit for the previously levied fee.

Voter-approved revenue options include a license fee of up to \$100 per vehicle and a 0.2 percent sales tax. Like many other special districts, transportation benefit districts may levy a one-year O&M levy under RCW 84.52.052 and do an excess levy for capital purposes under RCW 85.52.056. The funds must be spent on transportation improvements as set forth in the district's plan.

#### Local Non-Levy Financing Mechanisms

<u>Reserve Funds:</u> Revenue that is accumulated in advance and earmarked for capital improvements. Sources of the funds can be surplus revenues, funds in depreciation revenues, or funds resulting from the sale of capital assets.

<u>Fines, Forfeitures and Charges for Services:</u> This includes various administrative fees and user charges for services and facilities operated by the jurisdiction. Examples are franchise fees, sales of public documents, property appraisal fees, fines, forfeitures, licenses, permits, income received as interest from various funds, sale of public property, rental income and private contributions to the jurisdiction. Revenue from these sources may be restricted in use.

<u>User and Program Fees:</u> These are fees or charges for using park and recreational facilities, sewer services, water services and surface drainage facilities. Fees may be based on a measure of usage on a flat rate or on design features. Revenues may be used for new capital facilities or maintenance and operation of existing facilities.

<u>Street Utility Charges:</u> A fee of up to 50% of actual costs of street construction, maintenance and operations may be charged to households. Owners or occupants of residential property are charged a fee per household that cannot exceed \$2.00 per month. The fee charged to businesses is based on the number of employees and cannot exceed \$2.00 per employee per month. Both businesses and households must be charged. Revenue may be used for activities such as street lighting, traffic control devices, sidewalks, curbs, gutters, parking facilities and drainage facilities.

<u>Special Assessment District:</u> Special assessment districts are created to service entities completely or partially outside of the jurisdiction. Special assessments are levied against those who directly benefit from the new service or facility. The districts include Local Improvement Districts, Road Improvement Districts, Utility Improvement Districts and the collection of development fees. Funds must be used solely to finance the purpose for which the special assessment district was created.

<u>Impact Fees:</u> Impact fees are paid by new development based upon the development's impact to the delivery of services. Impact fees must be used for capital facilities needed by growth and not to correct current deficiencies in levels of service nor for operating expenses. These fees must be equitably allocated to the specific entities which will directly benefit from the capital improvement and the assessment levied must fairly reflect the true costs of these improvements.

Impact fees may be imposed for public streets, parks, open space, recreational facilities, and school facilities.

# State Grants and Loans

<u>Public Works Trust Fund:</u> Low interest loans to finance capital facility construction, public works emergency planning, and capital improvement planning. To apply for the loans the city must have a capital facilities plan in place and must be levying the original 1/4% real estate excise tax. Funds are distributed by the Department of Community Development. Loans for construction projects require matching funds generated only from local revenues or state shared entitlement revenues. Revenue may be used to finance new capital facilities, or maintenance and operations at existing facilities.

<u>State Parks and Recreation Commission Grants:</u> Grants for parks capital facilities acquisition and construction. They are distributed by the Parks and Recreation Commission to applicants with a 50% match requirement.

<u>Urban Transportation Improvement Programs</u>: The State Transportation Improvement Board offers three grant programs to cities exceeding a population of 5,000. Urban Arterial Program for roadway projects which improve safety and mobility; Urban Corridor Program, for roadway projects that expand capacity; and, Sidewalk Program for sidewalk projects that improve safety and connectivity.

<u>Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act (SAFETEA-LU):</u> SAFETEA-LU represents the largest surface transportation investment in our Nation's history with guaranteed funding for highways, highway safety, and public transportation totaling \$244.1 billion. SAFETEA-LU supplies funds for investments needed to maintain and grow vital transportation infrastructure.

<u>Centennial Clean Water Fund:</u> Grants and loans for the design, acquisition, construction, and improvement of Water Pollution Control Facilities, and related activities to meet state and federal water pollution control requirements. Grants and loans distributed by the Department of Ecology with a 75%-25% matching share. Use of funds is limited to planning, design, and construction of Water Pollution Control Facilities, stormwater management, ground water protection, and related projects.

<u>Water Pollution Control State Revolving Fund:</u> Low interest loans and loan guarantees for water pollution control projects. Loans are distributed by the Department of Ecology. The applicant must show water quality need, have a facility plan for treatment works, and show a dedicated source of funding for repayment.

# Federal Grants and Loans

Department of Health Water Systems Support: Grants for upgrading existing water systems,

ensuring effective management, and achieving maximum conservation of safe drinking water. Grants are distributed by the state Department of Health through intergovernmental review and with a 60% local match requirement.

#### Capital Facility Strategies

In order to realistically project available revenues and expected expenditures on capital facilities, the city must consider all current policies that influence decisions about the funding mechanisms as well as policies affecting the city's obligation for public facilities. The most relevant of these are described below. These policies, along with the goals and policies articulated in the other elements were the basis for the development of various funding scenarios.

# Mechanisms to Provide Capital Facilities

<u>Increase Local Government Appropriations:</u> The city will investigate the impact of increasing current taxing rates, and will actively seek new revenue sources. In addition, on an annual basis, the city will review the implications of the current tax system as a whole.

<u>Use of Uncommitted Resources:</u> The city has developed and adopted its Six-Year capital improvement schedules. With the exception of sewer facilities, however, projects have been identified on the 20-year project lists with uncommitted or unsecured resources.

<u>Analysis of Debt Capacity:</u> Generally, Washington state law permits a city to ensure a general obligation bonded debt equal to 3/4 of 1% of its property valuation without voter approval. By a 60% majority vote of its citizens, a city may assume an additional general obligation bonded debt of 1.7570%, bringing the total for general purposes up to 2.5% of the value of taxable property. The value of taxable property is defined by law as being equal to 100% of the value of assessed valuation. For the purpose of applying municipally-owned electric, water, or sewer service and with voter approval, a city may incur another general obligation bonded debt equal to 2.5% of the value of taxable property. With voter approval, cities may also incur an additional general obligation bonded debt equal to 2.5% of the value of taxable property for parks and open space. Thus, under state law, the maximum general obligation bonded debt which the city may incur cannot exceed 7.5% of the assessed property valuation.

Municipal revenue bonds are not subject to a limitation on the maximum amount of debt which can be incurred. These bonds have no effect on the city's tax revenues because they are repaid from revenues derived from the sale of service.

The City of Gig Harbor has used general obligation bonds and municipal revenue bonds very infrequently. Therefore, under state debt limitation, it has ample debt capacity to issue bonds for new capital improvement projects.

<u>User Charges and Connection Fees:</u> User charges are designed to recoup the costs of public facilities or services by charging those who benefit from such services. As a tool for affecting the pace and pattern of development, user fees may be designed to vary for the quantity and

location of the service provided. Thus, charges could be greater for providing services further distances from urban areas.

<u>Mandatory Dedications or Fees in Lieu of</u>: The jurisdiction may require, as a condition of plat approval, that subdivision developers dedicate a certain portion of the land in the development to be used for public purposes, such as roads, parks, or schools. Dedication may be made to the local government or to a private group. When a subdivision is too small or because of topographical conditions a land dedication cannot reasonably be required, the jurisdiction may require the developer to pay an equivalent fee in lieu of dedication.

The provision of public services through subdivision dedications not only makes it more feasible to service the subdivision, but may make it more feasible to provide public facilities and services to adjacent areas. This tool may be used to direct growth into certain areas.

<u>Negotiated Agreement:</u> An agreement whereby a developer studies the impact of development and proposes mitigation for the city's approval. These agreements rely on the expertise of the developer to assess the impacts and costs of development. Such agreements are enforceable by the jurisdiction. The negotiated agreement will require lower administrative and enforcement costs than impact fees.

<u>Impact Fees:</u> Impact fees may be used to affect the location and timing of infill development. Infill development usually occurs in areas with excess capacity of capital facilities. If the local government chooses not to recoup the costs of capital facilities in underutilized service areas then infill development may be encouraged by the absence of impact fees on development(s) proposed within such service areas. Impact fees may be particularly useful for a small community which is facing rapid growth and whose new residents desire a higher level of service than the community has traditionally fostered and expected.

# **Obligation to Provide Capital Facilities**

<u>Coordination with Other Public Service Providers:</u> Local goals and policies as described in the other comprehensive plan elements are used to guide the location and timing of development. However, many local decisions are influenced by state agencies and utilities that provide public facilities within the Urban Growth Area and the City of Gig Harbor. The planned capacity of public facilities operated by other jurisdictions must be considered when making development decisions. Coordination with other entities is essential not only for the location and timing of public services, but also in the financing of such services.

The city's plan for working with the natural gas, electric, and telecommunication providers is detailed in the Utilities Element. This plan includes policies for sharing information and a procedure for negotiating agreement for provision of new services in a timely manner.

Other public service providers such as school districts and private water providers are not addressed in the Utilities Element. However, the city's policy is to exchange information with

these entities and to provide them with the assistance they need to ensure that public services are available and that the quality of the service is maintained.

Level of Service Standards: Level of service standards are an indicator of the extent or quality of service provided by a facility that are related to the operational characteristics of the facility. They are a summary of existing or desired public service conditions. The process of establishing level of service standards requires the city to make quality of service decisions explicit. The types of public services for which the city has adopted level of service standards will be improved to accommodate the impacts of development and maintain existing service in a timely manner with new development.

Level of service standards will influence the timing and location of development, by clarifying which locations have excess capacity that may easily support new development, and by delaying new development until it is feasible to provide the needed public facilities. In addition, to avoid over-extending public facilities, the provision of public services may be phased over time to ensure that new development and projected public revenues keep pace with public planning. The city has adopted level of service standards for six public services. These standards are to be identified in Section V of this element.

<u>Urban Growth Area Boundaries:</u> The Urban Growth Area Boundary was selected in order to ensure that urban services will be available to all development. The location of the boundary was based on the following: environmental constraints, the concentrations of existing development, the existing infrastructure and services, and the location of prime agricultural lands. New and existing development requiring urban services will be located in the Urban Growth Area. Central sewer and water, drainage facilities, utilities, telecommunication lines, and local roads will be extended to development in these areas. The city is committed to serving development within this boundary at adopted level of service standards. Therefore, prior to approval of new development within the Urban Growth Area the city should review the six-year Capital Facilities Program and the plan in this element to ensure the financial resources exist to provide the services to support such new development.

## Methods for Addressing Shortfalls

The city has identified options available for addressing shortfalls and how these options will be exercised. The city evaluates capital facility projects on an individual basis rather than a systemwide basis. This method involves lower administrative costs and can be employed in a timely manner. However, this method will not maximize the capital available for the system as a whole. In deciding how to address a particular shortfall the city will balance the equity and efficiency considerations associated with each of these options. When evaluation of a project identifies shortfall, the following options would be available:

- Increase revenue
- Decrease level of service
- Decrease the cost of a facility
- Decrease the demand for the public service or facility

• Reassess the land use assumptions in the Comprehensive Plan

# SIX-YEAR CAPITAL FACILITY PLAN

In addition to the direct costs for capital improvements, this section analyzes cost for additional personnel and routine operation and maintenance activities. Although the capital facilities program does not include operating and maintenance costs, and such an analysis is not required under the Growth Management Act, it is an important part of the long-term financial planning. The six-year capital facilities program for the City of Gig Harbor was based upon the following analysis:

- Financial Assumptions
- Projected Revenues
- Projected Expenditures
- Future Needs

#### Financial Assumptions

The following assumptions about the future operating conditions in the city operations and market conditions were used in the development of the six-year capital facilities program:

- 1. The city will maintain its current fund accounting system to handle its financial affairs.
- 2. The cost of running local government will continue to increase due to inflation and other growth factors while revenues will also increase.
- 3. New revenue sources, including new taxes, may be necessary to maintain and improve city services and facilities.
- 4. Capital investment will be needed to maintain, repair and rehabilitate portions of the city's aging infrastructure and to accommodate growth anticipated over the next twenty years.
- 5. Public investment in capital facilities is the primary tool of local government to support and encourage economic growth.
- 6. A consistent and reliable revenue source to fund necessary capital expenditures is desirable.
- 7. A comprehensive approach to review, consider, and evaluate capital funding requests is needed to aid decision makers and the citizenry in understanding the capital needs of the city.

Capital improvements will be financed through the following funds:

- General Fund
- Capital Improvement Fund
- Transportation Improvement Fund
- Enterprise Funds

## **Projected Revenues**

# Tax Base

The City's tax base is projected to increase at a rate of 2% in 2010 and 1-2% in 2011 for the adjusted taxable value of the property, including new construction. The City's assessment ratio is projected to remain constant at 100%. Although this is important to the overall fiscal health of the city, capital improvements are funded primarily through non-tax resources.

# **Revenue by Fund**

**General Fund:** The General Fund is the basic operating fund for the city. The General Fund is allocated 25 percent of the annual tax yield from ad valorem property values. Since 2000, the average annual increase in tax levy was 6%. This was mostly due to new construction and annexations as regular growth in property tax levy is limited to 1 percent a year. The city is projecting a 1 to 2 percent increase in tax base for 2010 and 2011 due to the current economy. The City has a maximum rate of \$1.60 per \$1,000 ad valorem. The actually rate collected by the city has fallen from \$1.58 in 1999 to \$0.9294 in 2010. (est.)

**Capital Improvement Funds:** In the City of Gig Harbor, the Capital Improvement Funds accounts for the proceeds of the first and second quarter percent of the locally-imposed real estate excise tax. Permitted uses are defined as "public works projects for planning, acquisition, construction, reconstruction, repair, replacement, rehabilitation or improvements of streets, roads, highways, sidewalks street and road lighting systems, traffic signals, bridges, domestic water systems, storm and sanitary sewer systems, and planning, acquisition, construction, reconstruction, repair, replacement, rehabilitation or improvements of parks. These revenues are committed to annual debt service and expenditures from this account are expected to remain constant, based upon the existing debt structure. The revenues in these funds represent continued capture of a dedicated portion of the ad valorem revenues necessary to meet annual debt service obligations on outstanding general obligation bonds. In 2018, the City is scheduled to repay the 2008 LTGO Bonds.

**Street and Street Capital Funds:** Expenditures from these funds include direct annual outlays for capital improvement projects. The revenues in this fund represent total receipts from state and local gas taxes and 75% of property taxes collected. The projected revenues are based upon state projections for gasoline consumption, current state gas tax revenue sharing and continued utilization of local option gas taxes at current levels. This fund also includes state and federal grant monies dedicated to transportation improvements.

**Enterprise Funds:** The revenue these funds are used for the annual capital and operating expenditures for services that are operated and financed similar to private business enterprises. The projected revenues depend upon the income from user charges, connection fees, bond issues, state or federal grants and carry-over reserves.

# **GOALS AND POLICIES**

GOALS

- GOAL 12.1. PROVIDE NEEDED PUBLIC FACILITIES TO ALL OF THE CITY RESIDENTS IN A MANNER WHICH PROTECTS INVESTMENTS IN EXISTING FACILITIES, WHICH MAXIMIZES THE USE OF EXISTING FACILITIES AND WHICH PROMOTE ORDERLY AND HIGH QUALITY URBAN GROWTH.
- GOAL 12.2. PROVIDE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT TO CORRECT EXISTING DEFICIENCIES, TO REPLACE WORN OUT OR OBSOLETE FACILITIES AND TO ACCOMMODATE FUTURE GROWTH, AS INDICATED IN THE SIX-YEAR SCHEDULE OF IMPROVEMENTS.
- GOAL 12.3. FUTURE DEVELOPMENT SHOULD BEAR ITS FAIR-SHARE OF FACILITY IMPROVEMENT COSTS NECESSITATED BY DEVELOPMENT IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE AND MAINTAIN THE CITY'S ADOPTED LEVEL OF STANDARDS AND MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES.
- GOAL 12.4. THE CITY SHOULD MANAGE ITS FISCAL RESOURCES TO SUPPORT THE PROVISION OF NEEDED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR ALL DEVELOPMENTS.
- GOAL 12.5. THE CITY SHOULD COORDINATE LAND USE DECISIONS AND FINANCIAL RESOURCES WITH A SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS TO MEET ADOPTED LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS, MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES AND PROVIDE EXISTING FUTURE FACILITY NEEDS.
- GOAL 12.6. THE CITY SHOULD PLAN FOR THE PROVISION OR EXTENSION OF CAPITAL FACILITIES IN SHORELINE MANAGEMENT AREAS, CONSISTENT WITH THE GOALS, POLICIES AND OBJECTIVES OF THE CITY OF GIG HARBOR SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM.

POLICIES

12.1.1. Capital improvement projects identified for implementation and costing more than \$25,000 shall be included in the Six Year Schedule of Improvement of this element. Capital improvements costing less than \$25,000 should be reviewed for inclusion in the six-year capital improvement program and the annual capital budget.

# 12.1.2. Proposed capital improvement projects shall be evaluated and prioritized using the following guidelines as to whether the proposed action would:

- a. Be needed to correct existing deficiencies, replace needed facilities or to provide facilities required for future growth;
- b. Contribute to lessening or eliminating a public hazard;
- c. Contribute to minimizing or eliminating any existing condition of public facility capacity deficits;
- d. Be financially feasible;
- e. Conform with future land uses and needs based upon projected growth;
- f. Generate public facility demands that exceed capacity increase in the six-year schedule of improvements;
- g. Have a detrimental impact on the local budget.
- **12.1.3.** The City sewer and water connection fee revenues shall be allocated to capital improvements related to expansion of these facilities.
- 12.1.4. The City identifies its sanitary sewer service area to be the same as the urban growth area. Modifications to the urban growth boundary will constitute changes to the sewer service area.
- 12.1.5. Appropriate funding mechanisms for development's fair-share contribution toward other public facility improvements, such as transportation, parks/recreation, storm drainage, will be considered for implementation as these are developed by the City.
- 12.1.6. The City shall continue to adopt annual capital budget and six-year capital improvement program as part of its annual budgeting process.
- 12.1.7. Every reasonable effort shall be made to secure grants or private funds as available to finance the provision of capital improvements.
- **12.1.8.** Fiscal policies to direct expenditures for capital improvements will be consistent with other Comprehensive Plan elements.
- **12.1.9.** The City and/ or developers of property within the City shall provide for the

availability of public services needed to support development concurrent with the impacts of such development subsequent to the adoption of the Comprehensive Plan. These facilities shall meet the adopted level of service standards.

- 12.1.10. The City will support and encourage joint development and use of cultural and community facilities with other governmental or community organizations in areas of mutual concern and benefit.
- 12.1.11. The City will emphasize capital improvement projects which promote the conservation, preservation or revitalization of commercial and residential areas within the downtown business area and along the shoreline area of Gig Harbor, landward of Harborview Drive and North Harborview Drive.
- 12.1.12. If probable funding falls short of meeting the identified needs of this plan, the City will review and update the plan, as needed. The City will reassess improvement needs, priorities, level of service standards, revenue sources and the Land Use Element.

# LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS

The following Level of Service Standards (LOS) shall be utilized by the City in evaluating the impacts of new development or redevelopment upon public facility provisions:

1. Community Parks:

7.1 gross acres of general open space per 1,000 population.

1.5 gross acres of active recreational area per 1,000 population.

Park level of service standards are addressed in the Parks, Recreation & Open Space Facilities "Inventory and Analysis" section of this Chapter.

2. Transportation/Circulation:

Transportation Level of Service standards are addressed in the Transportation Element.

3. Sanitary Sewer:

Sanitary sewer level of service standards are addressed in the Wastewater System "Inventory and Analysis" section of this Chapter.

4. Potable Water:

Potable water level of service standards are addressed in the Water System "Inventory and Analysis" section of this Chapter.

# Six Year Capital Improvement Program

# PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND MONITORING

## Implementation

The six-year schedule of improvements shall be the mechanism the City will use to base its timing, location, projected cost and revenue sources for the capital improvements identified for implementation in the other comprehensive plan elements.

# Monitoring and Evaluation

Monitoring and evaluation are essential to ensuring the effectiveness of the Capital Facilities Plan element. This element will be reviewed annually and amended to verify that fiscal resources are available to provide public facilities needed to support LOS standards and plan objectives. The annual review will include an examination of the following considerations in order to determine their continued appropriateness:

- a. Any corrections, updates and modifications concerning costs, revenue sources, acceptance of facilities pursuant to dedication which are consistent with this element, or to the date of construction of any facility enumerated in this element;
- b. The Capital Facilities Element's continued consistency with the other element of the plan and its support of the land use element;
- c. The priority assignment of existing public facility deficiencies;
- d. The City's progress in meeting needs determined to be existing deficiencies;
- e. The criteria used to evaluate capital improvement projects in order to ensure that projects are being ranked in their appropriate order or level of priority;
- f. The City's effectiveness in maintaining the adopted LOS standard and objectives achieved;
- g. The City's effectiveness in reviewing the impacts of plans of other state agencies that provide public facilities within the City's jurisdiction;
- h. The effectiveness of impact fees or fees assessed new development for improvement costs;
- i. Efforts made to secure grants or private funds, as available, to finance new capital improvements;
- j. The criteria used to evaluate proposed plan amendments and requests for new development or redevelopment;
- k. Capital improvements needed for the latter part of the planning period for updating the sixyear schedule of improvements;
- j. Concurrency status.

# **Table 12.5 Capital Facilities Projects**

Project No.	Project	Projected Year	Cost	Plan	Primary Funding Sources
	W	astewater Trea	tment System		
T1	Outfall Construction Marine Portion (Bogue View Park to Colvos Passage)	2010 <u>-2011</u>	\$8,791,000	6-year	PWTF/ SRF/ revenue bonds /Connection Fees/Utility Rates
<del>T2</del>	WWTP Expansion Phase I	<del>2010</del>	<del>\$7,000,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	PWTF/ SRF/ revenue bonds /Connection Fees/ Utility Rates
Т <u>2</u> <del>3</del>	WWTP Expansion Phase II	2011-2012	\$8,210,000	6-year	PWTF/ SRF/ revenue bonds /Connection Fees/ Utility Rates
T <u>3</u> 4	Reuse and Reclamation Studies (\$100,000/yr)	20 <u>10</u> 09- 2014	\$500,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
T <u>4</u> 5	Annual Replacement, Rehabilitation and Renewal	20 <u>10</u> 09- 2014	\$610,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
T <u>5</u> 6	Annual Water Quality Reporting	20 <u>10<del>09</del>-</u> 2014	\$400,000	6-year	PWTF/ SRF/ revenue bonds /Connection Fees/Sewer Rates
	Wastewater Treatment Subtotal		\$ <u>18</u> 25,511,000		
	W	astewater Colle	ection System		
C1	Lift Station 1 Improvements (Crescent Creek Park)	2013	\$130,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
C2	Lift Station 3A Jockey Pump Replacement (Harborview Dr./N. Harborview Dr.)	2014	\$156,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
C3	Lift Station 4 Improvements (Harborview Dr./Rosedale St.)	20 <u>11</u> <del>10-</del> 2013	\$2,595,100	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
C4	Lift Station 5 Improvements (Harborview Ferry Landing)	2013	\$130,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
C5	Lift Station 6 Improvements (Ryan St./Cascade Ave)	20 <u>10</u> 09- 20 <u>11</u> <del>10</del>	\$700,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
C6	Lift Station 7 Improvements (Ried Dr./Hollycroft St.)	2010	\$203,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
C7	Lift Station 8 Improvements (Harbor Country Dr.)	2012-2013	\$532,800	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
C8	Lift Station 9 Improvements (50 <sup>th</sup> St./Reid Dr.)	2013	\$127,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
C9	Lift Station 11 Improvements (38 <sup>th</sup> Ave./48 <sup>th</sup> St.)	2014	\$139,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
C10	Lift Station 12 Improvements (Woodhill Dr./Burnham Dr.)	2012-2013	\$1,502,500	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
C11	Lift Station 13 Improvements (Purdy Dr/SR-302)	2012-2013	\$400,900	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
C12	Install Flow Meter at LS1	20 <u>11</u> <del>10</del>	\$29,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
C13	Install Flow Meter at LS2	2011	\$31,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates

# Wastewater System Projects

Project No.	Project	Projected Year	Cost	Plan	Primary Funding Sources		
C14	Install Flow Meter at LS3A	2014	\$38,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates		
			<b>**</b>		Connection		
C15	Install Flow Meter at LS4	2011	\$31,000	6-year	Fees/Utility Rates		
C16	Install Flow Meter at LS5	2013	\$36,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates		
C17	Install Flow Meter at LS6	2010	\$29,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates		
C18	Install Flow Meter at LS7	2010	\$29,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates		
C19	Install Flow Meter at LS8	2013	\$36,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates		
C20	Install Flow Meter at LS9	2013	\$36,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates		
C21	Install Flow Meter at LS10	2011	\$31,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates		
C22	Install Flow Meter at LS11	2014	\$38,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates		
C23	Install Flow Meter at LS12	20 <u>11</u> <del>10</del>	\$29,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates		
C24	Install Flow Meter at LS13	2014	\$38,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates		
C25	Install Flow Meter at LS14	2013	\$36,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates		
C26	Install Flow Meter at LS5	2013	\$36,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates		
C27	Install Future Lift Station 10A (56 <sup>th</sup> St./36 <sup>th</sup> Ave.) and Forcemain	20 <u>11</u> <del>10</del>	\$1,206,000	6-year	Developer Funded		
C28	Install Future Lift Station 17A (Skansie Ave./90 <sup>th</sup> St.) and Forcemain	2011	\$1,581,000	6-year	Developer Funded		
C29	Install Future Lift Station 21A (Hunt St/Skansie Ave.) and Forcemain	2010	\$1,518,000	6-year	Developer Funded		
C30	Wastewater Comprehensive Plan	2014	225,100	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates		
	Wastewater Collection Subtotal		\$10,064,400				
	<b>%2835,575,400</b>						

Notes: Estimated costs are based on dollars value in the estimated year of the project.

Project No.	Project	Projected Year	Cost	Plan	Primary Funding Source
1	Asbestos Cement Water Line Rreplacement Program (\$75,000/yr)	2010-2014	\$375,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
2	Water Systems Upgrades (\$50,000/yr)	2009-2014	\$300,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
3	Stinson Avenue Water Main Replacement	<del>2010</del>	<del>\$275,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
4	Harborview Drive Water Main Replacement N. Harborview Dr. to Rosedale St.	<del>2010-2011</del>	<del>\$1,400,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Development Mitigation/Connection Fees/Utility Rates
<u>3</u> 5	Water Rights Annual Advocate for /Permitting (\$40,000/year)	2009-2012	\$160,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
<u>4</u> 6	Well No. 11 – Deep Aquifer Well	2009-2013	\$4,174,600	6-year	Connection Fees /Utility Rates
7	Well No. 10	<del>2010</del>	<del>\$350,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Utility Rates/ Connection Fees
<u>5</u> 8	Harbor Hill Drive Water Main Extension	2014	\$450,200	6-year	Development Mitigation/Connection Fees/Utility Rates
<u>69</u>	Harborview Drive Loop	2011	\$503,500	6-year	Development Mitigation/Connection Fees/Utility Rates
<u>7</u> <del>10</del>	Tarabochia Street Water Main Replacement	2012	\$44,000	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
<u>8</u> 11	Grandview Street Water Main Replacement	2012	\$424,400	6-year	Development Mitigation/Connection Fees/Utility Rates
<u>9<del>12</del></u>	96 <sup>th</sup> Street Water Main Extension	2014	\$269,000	6-year	Development Mitigation/Connection Fees/Utility Rates
<u>10</u> 13	Woodworth Avenue Water Main Replacement	2013	\$116,700	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
<u>11</u> 14	Shurgard East Tee and Water Main Replacement	2013	\$437,100	6-year	Development Mitigation/Connection Fees/Utility Rates
<u>12<del>15</del></u>	Water System Plan Update	2014	\$112,600	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
	Water Total		\$ <u>7,367,100</u> <del>9,392,100</del>		

# Water System Projects

Note: Estimated costs are in 2009 dollars

<del>Project</del> <del>No.</del>	Project	Projected Year	Cost	Plan	Primary Funding Sources
1	City Park Improvements	ongoing		<del>6 year</del>	Grants/Local
2	GHPHS Museum Creek Easement Acquisition	2008-2012	<del>\$425,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Local
3	Gig Harbor North Park	<del>2008-2012</del>	<del>\$3,000,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Developer Mitigation/Impact
4	Jerisich Dock Moorage Extension	<del>2010-2012</del>	<del>\$300,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Fees/Grants/Donations
<del>5</del>	Cushman Trail Phase III 96 <sup>th</sup> -to Borgen	<del>2008-2011</del>	<del>1,500,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Local/County
6	Boys and Girls Club/ Senior Center	<del>2010-2011</del>	<del>250,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Local
7	Pioneer Way Streetscape	<del>2008-2012</del>	<del>\$127,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Local
8	Austin Estuary Park	<del>2008-2012</del>	455,000	<del>6 year</del>	RCO Grant
9	Skansie House Remodel	<del>2010-2012</del>	<del>\$60,000 -</del> <del>\$100,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	PSRC Grant/Local
<del>10</del>	Skansie Netshed Repair and Restoration	<del>2010-2014</del>	<del>\$450,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Heritage Grant/Local
<del>11</del>	Wheeler Pocket Park	<del>2012</del>	<del>70,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Local
<del>12</del>	Wilkinson Farm Barn Restoration	<del>2010-2014</del>	<del>\$200,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Heritage Barn Grant/Local Match
<del>13</del>	<del>Wilkinson Farm Park</del> <del>Development</del>	<del>2010-2013</del>	<del>\$900,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	State RCO Grant/Preservation Grants/Local Match
<del>14</del>	WWTP/Cushman Trail Access	<del>2010-2012</del>	<del>\$25,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Local
	Kenneth Leo Marvin Veterans Memorial Park Phase 2	<del>2010-2015</del>	<del>\$250,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	IAC Grant/Impact Fees/Local
<del>16</del>	Eddon Boatyard Dock Restoration	<del>2010-2011</del>	<del>\$250,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Heritage Grant
<del>17</del>	Eddon Boat Park Development	<del>2011-2014</del>	<del>\$2,000,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	RCO Grants/ Local
<del>18</del>	Maritime Pier – Dock Improvements	<del>2010-2012</del>	<del>\$4,500,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Local
<del>19</del>	North/Donkey Creek Corridor. (Conservation Properties) Northwest of Donkey Creek Park along the Creek.	<del>2010-201</del> 4	\$ <del>1,500,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	County Conservation Futures
<del>20</del>	Jerisich / Skansie Park Development	<del>2009-2010</del>	<del>\$150,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Local
21	Sewer Easement Trail (Veterans Park to 45 <sup>th</sup> Street Court)	<del>2009-2014</del>	<del>\$300,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Local
<del>22</del>	Donkey Creek Restoration	<del>2010 2016</del>	<del>\$1,200,000</del>	<del>6 year</del>	Local/Federal
	Subtotal		<del>\$17,912,000</del>		

Park, Recreation & Open Space Projects

#### Notes:

- (1) CFP Capital Facilities Program
- (2) GI Fee Growth Impact Fee
- (3) Bond Park, Recreation & Open Space Bond

<u>Project</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Project</u>	<b><u>Projected Year</u></b>	Cost	<u>Plan</u>	Primary Funding Sources
<u>1</u>	Harbor History Museum Donkey Creek Acquisition and Easement	<u>2008-2012</u>	<u>\$400,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	Local
2	Eddon Boatyard Dock Reconstruction	<u>2010-2011</u>	<u>\$250,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	Heritage Grant
<u>3</u>	Donkey Creek/Austin Estuary Restoration and Roads Project*	<u>2008-2016</u>	<u>\$350,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	RCO Grant (Funded)
<u>4</u>	Boys and Girls Club/Senior Center	<u>2010-2011</u>	<u>\$1,000,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	Local (\$250,000), Federal HUD (Funded <u>\$750,000)</u>
<u>5</u>	Crescent Creek Park Playground Improvements	<u>2010-2011</u>	<u>\$50,000-</u> \$300,000	<u>6 year</u>	<u>Grants, Local,</u> <u>Fundraising</u>
<u>6</u>	Skansie Netshed Stabilization Project	<u>2010-2014</u>	\$250,000	<u>6 year</u>	Heritage Grant, Local
<u>7</u>	Cushman Trail Phase III 96th to Borgen	<u>2010-2011</u>	<u>\$2,000,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	Local, County, RCO Grant, Federal
<u>8</u>	Eddon Boat Park Development	<u>2011-2014</u>	<u>\$300,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	RCO Grants, Local
<u>9</u>	Gig Harbor North Park	<u>2008-2012</u>	<u>\$5,000,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	Developer Mitigation, <u>Grants</u>
<u>10</u>	Gig Harbor North Trail System	<u>2010-2014</u>	<u>\$1,500,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	Local, Developer Mitigation, Grants
<u>11</u>	Wilkinson Farm Barn Restoration	<u>2010-2014</u>	<u>\$250,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	<u>Heritage Barn Grant,</u> <u>Local Match</u>
<u>12</u>	Jerisich Dock Utility Upgrades	<u>2010-2013</u>	<u>\$70,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	Local, RCO Grant (BIG)
<u>13</u>	Skansie House Improvements	<u>2010-2012</u>	<u>\$60,000 -</u> <u>\$100,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	PSRC Grant, Local
<u>14</u>	Jerisich Dock Float Extension	<u>2010-2012</u>	<u>\$300,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	<u>Fees, Grants,</u> <u>Donations</u>
<u>15</u>	Jerisich / Skansie Park Improvements	<u>2009-2010</u>	<u>\$150,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	Local, Donations/Volunteer
<u>16</u>	Seasonal Floats at Jerisich Dock	<u>2010-2012</u>	<u>\$200,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	Local, RCO Grant
<u>17</u>	Maritime Pier	<u>2010-2012</u>	<u>\$2,500,000-</u> <u>\$5,000,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	Local, Grants, Fees
<u>18</u>	Develop Plan for Wilkinson Farm Park	<u>2010-2011</u>	<u>\$25,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	<u>Grants, Local,</u> <u>Fundraising</u>
<u>19</u>	<u>Twawelkax Trail</u>	<u>2010-2012</u>	<u>\$125,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	Local
<u>20</u>	Veterans Memorial Trail	<u>2009-2014</u>	\$125,000	<u>6 year</u>	Local
<u>21</u>	<u>Wilkinson Farm Park</u> <u>Development</u>	<u>2011-2013</u>	<u>\$900,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	<u>RCO Grant,</u> Preservation Grants, Local Match
<u>22</u>	Develop Plan for Crescent Creek Park	<u>2015</u>	<u>\$25,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	<u>Grants, Local,</u> <u>Fundraising</u>
<u>23</u>	Harborview Waterfront Trail / Pioneer Way Streetscape	<u>2010-2014</u>	<u>\$500,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	<u>Grants, Local,</u> <u>Fundraising</u>
<u>24</u>	Kenneth Leo Marvin Veterans Memorial Park Phase 2	<u>2010-2015</u>	<u>\$250,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	RCO Grant, Local
<u>25</u>	Donkey Creek Corridor Conservation	<u>2010-2016</u>	<u>\$1,500,000</u>	<u>6 year</u> <u>plan</u>	County Conservation Futures
<u>26</u>	Critical Area Enhancement	<u>2012-2016</u>	<u>\$100,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	Local, Volunteers, <u>Grants</u>

<u>Project</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Project</u>	Projected Year	<u>Cost</u>	<u>Plan</u>	<u>Primary Funding</u> <u>Sources</u>
<u>27</u>	Wheeler Pocket Park	<u>2010-2012</u>	<u>\$70,000</u>	<u>6 year</u>	Local
	Park Total		<u>\$18,250,000 to</u> <u>\$21,040,000</u>		

\* The Donkey Creek/Austin Estuary Restoration and Roads Project benefits Stormwater, Parks and Transportation projects. The City has included portions of this project in each of these project lists; the total project amount is \$2,560,000.

#### Project Projected **Primary Funding** Project Plan Cost No. Year Source Update storm facilities mapping Connection 1 Annually \$300,000 6-year (\$50,000/yr) Fees/Utility Rates Garr Creek Tributary Channel (38<sup>th</sup> Connection 2010-2011 \$50,000 6-year St)/WWTP Erosion Study Fees/Utility Rates 2 Connection 3 38<sup>th</sup> /Quail Run Ave Storm Culverts 2014 6-year \$208,200 Fees/Utility Rates State/Federal Salmon Donkey Creek/Austin Estuary Restoration and Roads Project\* 4 2011 \$1,236,000 6-year Recovery Davlighting Grants/Earmarks/Local State/Federal Salmon Donkey Creek Culvert under 5 2013 \$546,400 Recovery 6-year Harborview Drive Grants/Earmarks Annual Storm Culvert Replacement Connection 6 2009-2014 \$300,000 6-year Program (\$50,000/yr) Fees/Utility Rates Connection 7 50<sup>th</sup> Street Box Culvert 2012 \$371.300 6-year Fees/Utility Rates Quail Run Water Quality System Connection 8 2011<del>10</del> \$15,000 6-year Improvements Fees/Utility Rates Connection Annual NPDES Implementation 9 2009-2014 \$100.000 6-year Fees/Utility Rates Expenses /State Grant Aquifer Re-charge - Spadoni Gravel Pit and State/Federal adjacent property north of 96th 10 2011 Transportation \$1.700.000 6-year street between SR-16 and Funding/Grant Burnham Drive. Burnham Drive/96<sup>th</sup> Street Culvert Connection 11 2014 \$56,300 6-year Replacement Fees/Utility Rates Borgen Boulevard/Peacock Hill Connection 12 2014 \$36,600 6-year Avenue Culvert Replacement Fees/Utility Rates 102<sup>nd</sup> Street Court Culvert 13 \$20,000 6-year Private Development ---Replacement Burnham Drive/Harborview Drive 14 \$15,000 Private Development 6-year --Rock Spall Pad Construction 101<sup>st</sup> Street Court Detention Pond 15 \$25,000 6-year Private Development ---Reconstruction

#### **Stormwater System-Projects**

Project No.	Project	Projected Year	Cost	Plan	Primary Funding Source
16	101 <sup>st</sup> Street Court Culvert Replacement		\$20,000	6-year	Private Development
17	Stormwater Comprehensive Plan Update	2014	\$112,600	6-year	Connection Fees/Utility Rates
	Stormwater Total		\$5,112,400		

#### Notes:

- Costs shown above are estimates and do not include such items as permitting costs, sales tax, right-of-way acquisition, utility relocations, trench dewatering, traffic control or other unforeseen complications.
- Private Development funding indicates the full cost for the project shall be borne by property owner(s) or developer(s).

\* The Donkey Creek/Austin Estuary Restoration and Roads Project benefits Stormwater, Parks and Transportation projects. The City has included portions of this project in each of these project lists; the total project amount is \$2,560,000.

#### **Transportation Improvement Projects**

Project No.	Project Name	Projected Start Year	Estimated Cost	Plan	Funding Source
1	SR-16/Borgen/Canterwood Hospital Mitigation Improvements	2009	\$11,000,000	6-Year	State/Local
2	50th St Ct NW Improvements	2009	\$1,600,000	6-Year	State/Local
3	Harbor Hill and Borgen Intersection Improvements	2013	\$704,000	6-Year	Developer/Local
4	Rosedale and Stinson Intersection Improvements	2013	\$275,000	6-Year	Local
5	38 <sup>th</sup> Ave Improvements Phase 1	20 <u>10</u> 09	\$9,790,000	6-Year	State/Local
6	Harbor Hill Drive Extension	20 <u>14</u> 09	\$5,500,000	6-Year	Developer/Local
7	Burnham Dr Phase 1	20 <u>14</u> 11	\$ <u>11,360,000</u> <del>6,700,000</del>	6-Year	State/Local/Developer
8	Burnham Dr/Harbor Hill Drive Intersection Improvements	20 <u>11</u> <del>10</del>	\$2,200,000	6-Year	Developer/Local
9	Soundview and Hunt Intersection Improvements	2012	\$660,000	6-Year	Developer/Local
10	Olympic/ <u>Pt.</u> Fosdick Intersection Improvements	20 <u>10</u> 09	\$440,000	6-Year	Developer/Local
11	Wollochet Dr Improvements	20 <u>13</u> <del>10</del>	\$660,000	6-Year	Developer/Local
12	Harborview/N Harborview Intersection Improvements	2010	\$1,650,000	6-Year	Local
13	SR 16/Olympic Drive	2012	\$825,000	6-Year	Developer/ State/Local
14	Rosedale St/Skansie Ave Intersection Improvements	2011	\$ 275,000	6-Year	Local
15	38th Ave Improvements Phase 2	2009	\$5,280,000	6-Year	State/Local
16	Skansie Ave Improvements	2010	\$9,460,000	6-Year	Local
17	Rosedale St Improvements	2010	\$3,740,000	6-Year	State/Local
18	Olympic/Hollycroft Intersection Improvements	2013	\$26,000	6-Year	Local
19	Vernhardson St Improvements	20 <u>14</u> 13	\$375,000	6-Year	Local

Project No.	Project Name	Projected Start Year	Estimated Cost	Plan	Funding Source
•	Point Fosdick Pedestrian	20 <u>11<del>10</del></u>	\$ <u>300,000</u>	6-Year	State/Local
20	Improvements		<del>1,100,000</del>		
	Harborview Dr. Improvements	201211	¢100.000		
21	from N. Harborview Dr. to	20 <u>12</u> <del>11</del>	\$100,000	6-Year	<u>Federal/</u> State/Local
21	Pioneer Wy Judson/Stanich/Uddenberg				
22	Improvements	20 <u>10</u> <del>12</del>	\$2,090,000	6-Year	State/Local
	Donkey Creek Daylighting Street				
	and Bridge Improvements Donkey		\$974,000		
	Creek/Austin Estuary Restoration	20 <u>10</u> 09	<del>2,050,000</del>	6-Year	Federal/State/Local
23	and Roads Project*		2,000,000		
	Wagner Way/Wollochet Drive	201200	¢200.000		
24	Traffic Signal	20 <u>13</u> 09	\$300,000	6-Year	Developer/Local
	Grandview Drive Phase 1 from	201410	\$500.000	6-Year	Developer/Legel
<u>26</u> 25	Stinson to Pioneer	20 <u>14</u> <del>10</del>	\$500,000	o-rear	Developer/Local
	Grandview Drive Phase 2 from	2010 <del>09</del>	\$860,000	6-Year	Local
<u>25</u> 26	Soundview to McDonald	201000	\$800,000	0-1 Cai	Local
	Pt Fosdick/56th Street	2012 <del>10</del>	\$4,330 <del>000</del> ,000	6-Year	State/Local/Developer
27	Improvements	201210	· · · ·	0 1001	State, Local, Developer
	Subtotal 6-Year:		\$ <u>79,558,000</u> <del>72,056,000</del>		
28	96th Street SR16 Crossing	2030	\$8,000,000	Other	State/Local
29	Briarwood Lane Improvments	20 <u>20</u> 15	\$ <u>3</u> 500,000	Other	Local
30	<del>Frankilin</del> <u>Franklin</u> Ave Improvements	2015	\$500,000	Other	Local
31	Street Connections - Point Fosdick Area	2015	\$600,000	Other	Local
32	Crescent Valley Connector	2030	\$2,000,000	Other	Local
33	Downtown Parking Lot Design	20 <u>15</u> 09	\$60,000	Other	Local
34	Downtown Parking Lot property acquisition	20 <u>15</u> 09	n/a	Other	Local
	Purchase land for ROW, stormwater improvements,	20 <u>15</u> 08	n/a	Other	Local
35	wetland mitigation				
36	Public Works Operations Facility	20 <u>15</u> <del>10</del>	\$1,125,000	Other	Local
	Subtotal Other:		\$ <u>12,585,000</u> <del>12,785,000</del>		
	Transportation Total:		\$ <u>92,369,000</u> 84,841,000		

\* The Donkey Creek/Austin Estuary Restoration and Roads Project benefits Stormwater, Parks and Transportation projects. The City has included portions of this project in each of these project lists; the total project amount is \$2,560,000.